



MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) Members

FROM: Deanna Trebil, MPO Administrator

DATE: August 12, 2021

RE: TAC Meeting – August 19, 2021

A Transportation Advisory Committee meeting will be held at **3:30 PM** on **Thursday, August 19, 2021.** Board members are encouraged to attend in-person, if comfortable, at the Onslow County Government Building while others may join the meeting virtually through Microsoft Teams: <u>Click here to join the meeting</u>. You can also dial in for audio only +1 469-269-6113, Phone Conference ID: 935984090#.

Discussion topics for this meeting include 2020-2029 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) Amendment 4, 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Amendment 3, Prioritization 6.0, Regional Mobility Strategies, as well as other transportation-related topics

Please contact me at 910-938-5073 with any questions or concerns.



AGENDATRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

August 19, 2021 – 3:30 PM

Join on your computer or mobile app through Microsoft Teams: <u>Click here to join the meeting</u>. You can also dial in for audio only +1 469-269-6113, Phone Conference ID: 935984090#

I.	Call to Order	Chairman Warden
II.	Welcome and Introductions	Chairman Warden
III.	Adoption of the Agenda	Chairman Warden
IV.	Public Comment	Chairman Warden
Actio	n Items	
V.	TAC Bylaws Amendment (Attachment 1) Recommended Action: Approval of TAC Bylaws	Chairman Warden
VI.	May 13, 2021 Meeting Minutes (Attachment 2) Recommended Action: Approval of Meeting Minutes	Chairman Warden
VII.	2020-2029 MTIP Amendment 4 (Attachment 3) TCC Recommended Action: Approval of 2020-2029 MTIP Amendment 4	Chairman Warden
VIII.	2045 MTP Amendment 3 (Attachment 4) TCC Recommended Action: Approval of MTP Amendment 3	Chairman Warden
Discu	ssion Items	
IX.	P6.0	Anthony Prinz
Χ.	Regional Mobility Strategy	Anthony Prinz
Repo	rts/Comments	
XI.	Report from TAC Secretary	Anthony Prinz
XII.	Report from NCDOT Division 3	Caitlin Marks
XIII.	Report from NCDOT Transportation Planning Division	Behshad Norowzi
XIV.	Report from FHWA Field Officer	Bill Marley
XV.	Closing Comments	Chairman



Attachment: 1

Transportation Advisory Committee Action Required

To: Transportation Advisory Committee

From: Deanna Trebil, MPO Administrator

Subject: TAC Bylaws Amendment

8/19/2021

The Memorandum of Understanding expanding the MPO boundary has been fully executed. As such, staff is recommending that the Bylaws for the Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) be updated to add our new partners.

The Bylaws stipulate that amendments can be considered so long as the proposed changes are presented in writing to the voting members at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting at which it will be considered.

Recommended Action: Approve Bylaws as presented

Attachment: Proposed Amendment to the Bylaws



BYLAWS

JACKSONVILLE URBAN AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ARTICLE I - NAME

The name of this organization shall be the Jacksonville Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Transportation Advisory Committee, hereinafter referred to as the "TAC".

ARTICLE II - PURPOSE

As specified in the Amended Memorandum of Understanding dated May 20, 2021, the purpose and goals of the TAC shall be:

- To serve as a forum for cooperative transportation planning decision-making for the Metropolitan Planning Organization.
- To keep the policy boards informed of the status and requirements of the transportation planning process.
- To assist in the dissemination and clarification of the decisions, inclinations, and policies of the policy boards.
- To ensure meaningful citizen participation in the transportation planning process.

ARTICLE III – RESPONSIBILITIES

As specified in the Amended Memorandum of Understanding dated May 20, 2021, the responsibilities of this committee shall include:

- Review and approval of the transportation planning work program.
- Review and approval of the Transportation Improvement Program for multimodal capital and operating expenditures and to insure coordination between local and State capital and operating improvement programs.
- Endorsement, review and approval of changes to the adopted Transportation Plan. As required by General Statutes Section 136-66.2 revisions to the Thoroughfare Plan must be jointly approved by the local governing boards and the North Carolina Department of Transportation.

- Endorsement, review, and approval of changes to the Federal-Aid Highway System and Federal-Aid Urbanized Area Boundary.
- Endorsement, review, and approval of a Prospectus for transportation planning which defines work tasks and responsibilities for the various agencies participating in the transportation planning process. And,
- Establishment of goals and objectives for the transportation planning process.

ARTICLE IV – MEMBERS

Section 1 – Number and Qualifications:

As specified in the Amended Memorandum of Understanding dated May 20, 2021, the Transportation Advisory Committee membership and voting structure shall be:

# of Members	Town/Municipality/County	Voting Status
2	Jacksonville City Council	Yes
2	Onslow County Board of Commissioners	Yes
1	NC Board of Transportation	Yes
1	Holly Ridge Town Council	No
1	Richlands Board of Alderman	No
1	Swansboro Board of Commissioners	No
1	North Topsail Beach Board of Aldermen	No

It is anticipated that the Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, or his representative; Assistant Chief of Staff, Facilities, MCB, Camp Lejeune; Assistant Chief of Staff, Logistics, MCB, Camp Lejeune; S-4 Officer, Marine Corps Air Station (H), New River; and several community leaders will serve as consulting non-voting members to the TAC.

Section 2 – Terms of Office:

Members of the TAC shall be designated by the governing boards that they represent. Members shall remain in office until; (1), their designation has been rescinded by their respective governing board; (2), their governing board has designated a duly qualified replacement member, or; (3), their membership in their respective governing board has ceased.

Section 3 – Alternates:

Each member government policy board may appoint an alternate to its representative provided each alternate also meets the same qualifications of membership. That alternate member may serve as a full voting member during any meeting where that board's representative is not in attendance. Proxy and absentee voting are not permitted.

ARTICLE V – OFFICERS

Section 1 – Officers Defined:

The officers of the TAC shall consist of a Chairman and Vice-Chairman, to be elected by the members of the TAC. A member of the staff of the Jacksonville Transportation Services Department shall serve as Secretary to the Committee. The Secretary shall maintain a current copy of these Bylaws as an addendum to the Memorandum of Understanding, to be distributed to the public upon request.

Section 2 – Elections:

The Chairman and Vice-Chairman shall be elected annually at the first regularly scheduled meeting of the calendar year. The Chairman shall be a representative from the Jacksonville City Council, and the Vice-Chairman shall be a representative from the Onslow County Board of Commissioners. The newly elected Chairman and Vice-Chairman shall take office immediately following the election. Additional elections may be held if either the Chairman or Vice-Chairman cannot carry out his/her duties nor complete the remainder of their appointed term.

Section 3 – Terms of Office:

The term of office for officers shall be one year. Officers may serve successive terms. Each officer shall hold office until his/her successor have been duly elected or until his/her earlier death, resignation, disqualification, incapacity to serve, or removal in accordance with the law.

Section 4 – Duties of Officers:

The Chairman shall call and preside at meetings and appoint committees. In the absence of the Chairman, the Vice-Chairman shall preside and complete all other duties of the Chairman.

ARTICLE VI – MEETINGS

Section 1 – Regular Meetings:

Meetings will be held once every two months or when it is deemed appropriate and advisable. Meeting notices and agendas are to be distributed in sufficient time for them to have been received by each committee member no later than seven (7) days prior to the meeting date. If there is insufficient business for a regularly scheduled meeting, as determined by the Chairman, the Secretary will notify the TAC members of the meeting's cancellation.

Section 2 – Special Meetings:

Special meetings may be called by the Chairman with 48 hours notice, or at the request of the majority of the eligible voting members. Whenever possible, at least seven (7) days notice shall be given.

Section 3 - Virtual Meetings

Virtual meetings will be hosted by a MPO staff liaison who will create and send the meeting invitation to all Board Members as well as interested parties. This meeting invitation will also be published on JUMPO's website to comply with open meeting laws of North Carolina and to allow for a public comment period.

The staff meeting liaison will conduct a roll call for attendance at the beginning of each meeting. Before speaking, making a motion or seconding a motion, the person should state their name. After a motion and second has been made, the chairperson should call a roll call vote.

Section 4 – Quorums:

A quorum is constituted by the presence of at least fifty percent (50%) of the eligible voting members at the beginning of the meeting.

Section 5 – Attendance:

Each member shall be expected to attend each regular meeting and each special meeting in accordance with Article VI, Sections 1 and 2. For members not attending three (3) consecutive TAC meetings, the Chairman will send to the chief elected officer of the jurisdiction of the member in question, a letter indicating the number of absences and requesting reaffirmation or redesignation of the jurisdiction's representative.

Section 6 – Agenda:

The agenda is a list of considerations for discussion at a meeting. Items on the agenda originate as a carryover from previous TAC meetings, or are placed on the agenda prior to its distribution by any member of the TAC, by request from any jurisdiction party to the Memorandum of Understanding, or by the request of the Chairman of the Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC). Additional items may be placed on the regular agenda following discussion of the last item on the regular agenda, as long as a majority concurrence of the present and eligible voting members is received.

As part of a Consent Agenda, the TAC may act on routine administrative items or items deemed by the TCC as non-controversial in one motion if no member or the public wishes an item be removed and discussed on the regular agenda. Members of the TAC may raise questions, seek clarification or add directions to Consent Agenda items without removing the item from the Consent Agenda as long as no other member objects to the change.

Section 7 – Voting Procedures:

The Chairman and any member may call for a vote on any issue provided that it is seconded and within the purposes set forth in the Memorandum of Understanding. Non-voting members and unauthorized alternates are not permitted to vote. Each voting member of the TAC shall have one vote, and a majority vote of those present and voting shall constitute approval of any motion. By approval of the TAC, a member may withdraw from voting on an issue. Abstentions shall be considered affirmative votes. Motions to reconsider shall be in accordance with Robert's <u>Rules of Order</u>. In the absence of

any direction from these Bylaws or other duly adopted voting procedures pursuant to certain approval actions, Robert's <u>Rules of Order</u> will designate procedures governing voting.

<u>ARTICLE VII – AMENDMENTS TO BYLAWS</u>

Amendments to these Bylaws of the TAC shall require the affirmative vote of at least two thirds (2/3) of the eligible voting members, provided that written notice of the proposed amendment has been received by each member at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting at which the item is to be considered and provided that such amendment does not conflict with the letter or fundamental intent of the Memorandum of Understanding governing this document. In the event of any conflict, the Memorandum of Understanding shall carry precedence over these Bylaws.

Adopted		
Date	Robert Warden TAC Chair	
	Anthony Prinz Secretary	



Attachment: 2

Transportation Advisory CommitteeAction Required

To: Transportation Advisory Committee

From: Deanna Trebil, MPO Administrator

Subject: May 13, 2021 Meeting Minutes

8/19/2021

Recommended Action: Approval of meeting minutes

Attachment: May 13, 2021 Meeting Minutes

TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE May 13, 2021

Onslow County Government Building 234 NW Corridor Blvd, Jacksonville, NC

Present: Mr. Bob Warden, Mr. Randy Thomas, and Mr. Royce Bennett

Others Present: Mr. Ron Massey, Ms. Deanna Trebil, Ms. Debbie Jefferson, Ms. Carol

Long, and Mr. Anthony Prinz

Via Phone: Mr. BJ Eversole, Mr. Bill Marley, Mr. Behshad Norowzi, Mr. Ray Silance,

Mr. Daniel Combo, Mr. Roy Bredahl, Mr. Chad Kimes, Ms. Caitlin Marks, Ms. Christina Ramirez, Mr. Roy Herrick, Mr. Christopher

Palsgrove, and Mr. Chris White

I. Call to Order

Chairman Bob Warden called the Jacksonville Urban Area MPO Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) Meeting to order at 3:31 pm on Thursday, May 13, 2021, at the Onslow County Government Building – 234 NW Corridor Blvd and via Teleconference.

II. Welcome and Introductions

Chairman Warden welcomed everyone to the TAC meeting, and thanked everyone on the teleconference for calling in.

III. Adoption of the Agenda

Chairman Warden asked for a motion to adopt the agenda as written.

Mr. Royce Bennett made a motion to accept the agenda as written. Mr. Randy Thomas seconded the motion to accept the agenda as written.

The agenda was accepted unanimously by the committee members present.

IV. Public Comment

There were no public comments in person, audio or virtual.

Action Items:

V. March 11, 2021 Meeting Minutes

Chairman Warden asked for a motion to approve the March 11, 2021 Meeting Minutes.

Mr. Royce Bennett made a motion to approve the March 11, 2021 Meeting Minutes as presented. Mr. Randy Thomas seconded the motion.

The motion to approve the March 11, 2021 Meeting Minutes as presented was accepted unanimously be the committee members present.

VI. 2020-2029 MTIP Amendment 3

Ms. Deanna Trebil stated that the Transportation Advisory Committee adopted the 2020-2029 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) on December 12, 2019. Amendment 1 was approved on June 11, 2020 and Amendment 2 was approved on November 19, 2020.

In February 2021, the State Board of Transportation (BOT) adjusted the funding for U-4906 (Gum Branch Widening Project) and the schedule for EB-6012 (Chaney Ave Trail). Additionally, in May 2021 the BOT plans to adjust funding for U-5716 (US 258/NC 53 Interchange).

Staff was recently notified that NCDOT will be advancing the project let date of U-4906 from December 2021 to September 2021. The proposed change will be considered by the BOT during their July 2021 meeting. The change in construction schedule is considered an Administrative change and solicitation of public comment is not required in accordance with the Public Participation Plan.

The initial Amendment was uploaded to JUMPO's website on March 22, 2021, and later amended to add the changes related to U-5716 project. A public comment was available through May 11, 2021 to which no comments were received. The TCC recommended approval of Amendment 3 of the 2020-2029 MTIP.

Chairman Warden asked for a motion of approval of the 2020-2029 MTIP Amendment 3.

Mr. Randy Thomas made a motion to approve the 2020-2029 MTIP Amendment 3 as presented. Mr. Royce Bennett seconded the motion.

The motion to approve the 2020-2029 MTIP Amendment 3 as presented was accepted unanimously be the committee members present.

VII. Draft P6.0 Local Input Methodology

Ms. Trebil discussed the process of selecting projects for the FY 2024-2033 State Transportation Improvement Program which is known as Prioritization 6.0, which establishes the quantitative scoring criteria. These scores account for 70% Regional Impact and 50% for Division Needs projects' scores. The remainder of the score comes from local input, divided equally between the MPO and the Division Engineer.

The methodology used by the MPO to assign local points, as required by state law, is a combination of quantitative and qualitative criteria. With P6.0, the MPO does have the option to apply the Local Input Point Flexing Policy. This means that up to 500 Local Input Points can be transferred from one category to the other. If the MPO chooses to flex Local Input Points, the MPO will provide written documentation to the SPOT Office prior to assigning Regional Impact Local Input Points.

The draft P6.0 Local Input Methodology has been submitted and approved by NCDOT. However, it also requires approval by the TCC and TAC. The proposed P6.0 Local Input Methodology has been uploaded to JUMPO's website on March 22, 2021 for public comment to be received until May 11, 2021. No public comment was received. The TCC recommended approval of the P6.0 Local Input Methodology.

Chairman Warden asked for a recommendation of approval for the Draft P6.0 Local Input Methodology.

Mr. Royce Bennett made a motion to approve the Draft P6.0 Local Input Methodology as presented. Mr. Randy Thomas seconded the motion to approve.

The motion to approve the Draft P6.0 Local Input Methodology as presented was accepted unanimously be the committee members present.

VIII. FY 2022 Unified Planning Work Program

Ms. Trebil stated that each year the Jacksonville MPO produces a Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) to outline expenditures necessary to carry out planning activities by the MPO and its member agencies during the upcoming fiscal year. NCDOT requires the UPWP be adopted and submitted by May 31, 2021 for the coming fiscal year.

The draft is similar to current year's budget and is created to further the operational objectives identified by the TCC and TAC. The work program accounts for all direct operating expenses and includes a request for one additional full time position. This position is requested to continue conducting more feasibility and planning studies inhouse.

Chairman Warden asked for a motion of approval of the FY 2022 Unified Planning Work

Program.

Mr. Randy Thomas made a motion to approve the FY 2022 Unified Planning Work Program as presented. Mr. Royce Bennett seconded the motion.

The motion to approve the FY 2022 Unified Planning Work Program as presented was accepted unanimously be the committee members present.

Discussion Items

IX. **P6.0**

Mr. Prinz presented the Work Program and reviewed the current status of the upcoming Local Input Methodology (LIP) that is identified to begin in May that was previously discussed.

From here forward, we rely on NCDOT to take the information that we had given them, run it through their model, spit it back out to us, and then we start the process of giving them feedback. That is why the LIP is so important as it creates the framework on how we will prioritize the projects.

The dates shown in the Work Program are current as of now, but are subject to change as we move forward.

X. TRAC

Ms. Trebil provided an update on the progress made to date on the Transportation Resiliency Action Committee Initiative to include a review of the goals and key areas of focus.

TRAC began meeting in January 2019 with the goal to strengthen mobility to improve community resiliency. They established the following objectives:

Reduce the frequency and duration of regional isolation.

Reduce the frequency and duration of local isolation from strategic points of interest. Identify and implement operational systems that improve mobility.

The goal was to use data that is publicly available and maintained by others creating a framework that could be easily reproduced by others.

The key areas of focus were on frequency, criticality, and duration of flooding. We accomplished this by focusing efforts on NCDOT roads, classified the roads in order of significance, and identified FEMA regulated crossing. This lead to identifying 83 drainage crossings which included bridges and culverts using a static model to compile results.

The methodology and results of the static model were reviewed by TRAC to validate the results. Stakeholders provided feedback on each crossing given their past experience

with flooding events and with Hurricane Florence. From this meeting, some of the crossing priorities changed helping to inform the development of the two-dimension modeling basins, the next step in evaluating the transportation network. Additionally, two additional crossings were added: #84 – Stormwater pipe at Bear Creek Road and #85 – Pond at Kingsbridge Rd based on known historical flooding.

When evaluating where the 85 crossings are located within these drainage basins, TRAC made the decision to proceed forward with 3-D modeling portions of the New River Basin and the Southwest Creek Basin.

The basins chosen by TRAC have the largest number of crossings, proximity of these crossings to locations of importance, and historical knowledge of road closures. These basins included a total of 41 crossings, 33 crossings located within New River Basin and eight (8) crossings located within the Southwest Creek basin. These basins were modeled to determine duration of flooding by evaluating rain events and looking at the depth of flooding and the intensity of rainfall.

Next steps include completing the analysis of each crossing, finalize the narrative methodology, and publish the ArcGIS Online dashboard. The intent is to include the narrative of this initiative as a new chapter in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.

XI. <u>Camp Lejeune Rail – Road Access</u>

Mr. Prinz stated Commissioner Bennett had contacted him several weeks ago to request discussion the Camp Lejeune Rail that has been freed up for commercial use and what type of roadway improvements are planned for the area. If nothing was planned, then to have a discussion on what type of improvements might be needed.

The Camp Lejeune Rail Line crosses Hwy 24 near Piney Green Road and then runs south of Rocky Run Road, passing Riggs Road and continues south of Stella Road. The Rail line does have some limiting factors regarding access with the Hoffman Forrest to the North and the White Oak River to the Right.

From a planning standpoint, Mr. Prinz stated that the MPO has not studied this area much other than the Hwy 24 Access Management Project, which is focused on improving safety along this corridor and not improving capacity. There are other improvements identified to help with regional mobility such as extending the Jacksonville Bypass to Ramsey Road. Another related project is improving Ramsey Road by additional capacity either on existing or new alignment to Hwy 17. These improvements would allow for a greater efficiency to Hwy 24 and creating an outer loop for Jacksonville.

At this time, it is uncertain what type of private companies might be interested or how they might use the rail at this time. It recommended that staff look at the Rail Study that was previously conducted to determine if any potential companies that might have been identified and to possibly update that Study.

After much discussion, the Committee recommended establishing a sub-committee to look at a short-term planning exercise to identify limitations and opportunities for access and geometric or safety issues related to the accessing the Rail line that are in close proximity to Piney Green Road. Another step is to review the previously completed Camp Lejeune Rail Study as discussed to help identify other parcels in the area that are eligible for development in the vicinity of Swansboro-Belgrade Road.

Reports/Comments

XII. Report from TAC Secretary

Mr. Prinz discussed the Douglass Gate project, expressing the beginning and completion dates. This gate would allow the capability to search commercial vehicles and take some of the load off the main gate. The committee members expressed this is a great project and the quickness of the construction is outstanding.

Jacksonville Station is continuing to move forward. Mr. Prinz stated that this building would be quite impressive once completed.

XIII. Report from NCDOT Division 3

Ms. Caitlin mentioned her update is included in the packets and DOT is going through an exercise to update cost estimates for all projects in the STIP.

XIV. Report from NCDOT Transportation Planning Division

Mr. Behshad presented his updated report. His updated report included a new tool to help make our roadways safer - Drone Bridge Inspection.

XV. Report from FHWA Field Officer

Mr. Marley gave his updated report of the census, the TIP and the STIP. Also discussed the reorganization of the new administration.

XVI. Closing Comments

Chairman Warden thanked everyone for their participation in today's meeting. The meeting was adjourned at 4:51pm.



Transportation Advisory Committee Action Required

To: Transportation Advisory Committee

From: Deanna Trebil, MPO Administrator

Subject: 2020-2029 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) -

Amendment 4

8/12/2021

The Transportation Advisory Committee adopted the 2020-2029 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) on December 12, 2019. Amendment 1 was approved on June 11, 2020; Amendment 2 was approved on November 19, 2020; and Amendment 3 was approved on May 13, 2021.

As a result of the MPO Boundary expansion, the State Board of Transportation (BOT) is adjusting projects to move them from the Down East Rural Planning Organization (DERPO) to JUMPO:

- R-5783: Division 3 Program to update intersection to comply with ADA
- W-5203: Division 3 Rumble strips, guardrail, safety and lighting improvements
- W-5703DIV: Safety Improvements in Division 3
- W-5703REG: Safety Improvements in Division 3
- W-5703SW: Safety Improvements in Division 3

Additionally, other modifications are proposed to include adjustments to funding or project schedules and/or the addition of projects:

- U-4906: Gum Branch widening funding adjustment
- TK-6150: OUTS Administration new funding
- AV-5733: Runway 23 Holding Apron Advance construction from FY25 to FY22
- EB-6012: Jacksonville Downtown Trail Move construction from FY21 to FY22

When NCDOT modifies the State Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP), JUMPO is also required to update the MTIP to reflect those changes.

The Amendment was uploaded to JUMPO's website initially on July 1, 2021 and further amended on August 3, 2021. Public comment will be received through August 18, 2021. The Amendment is available to view at: www.jumpo-nc.org.

TCC Recommended Action: Approval of 2020-2029 MTIP Amendment 4

Attachment: 2020-2029 Metropolitan Transportation Plan

Amendment 4



FY 2020-2029 Transportation Improvement Program TIP

Adopted

December 2019

Amendment 1 – June 11, 2020

Amendment 2 – November 19, 2020

Amendment 3 – May 13, 2021

Amendment 4 – August 19, 2021

The Jacksonville Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (JUMPO) has cooperatively developed this Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) with the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT), Jacksonville Transit, Onslow United Transit System (OUTS), and the Albert J. Ellis Airport as required by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

This document reflects transportation investment priorities and programs transportation projects for potential funding over the ten-year period of FY 2020-2029. Projects programmed in the first six years of the document (FY 2020-2025) depict the funding committed in the Transportation Improvement Program. Projects programmed in the remaining years (FY 2026-2029) are illustrative.

The Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) is the basis for this programming document. JUMPO completed its most recent LRTP in January 2019. Additional information on individual projects can be found in the MTP online at www.jumpo-nc.org. JUMPO has incorporated safety performance targets as required by FHWA and NCDOT. Both the MTP and this TIP incorporate performance measures by including the adopted targets for pavement, bridge maintenance, system performance, freight, and transit assist management. These established performance measures have their beginnings in federal legislation (MAP-21 and the FAST Act) and are intended to increase the accountability and transparency of federal highway programs through performance based planning.

The North Carolina General Assembly passed Strategic Transportation Investment legislation in 2014 mandating how projects would be selected for the TIP. All projects were input into the SPOT Online system in fall 2017. Based on scores and funding availability, a list of Statewide Mobility projects was released in spring 2018. All projects in all categories had quantitative scores assigned based on modal criteria and were released in mid-summer. Public comment periods provided opportunities for members of the public to comment on projects and provide feedback to the Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) and Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) on how local input points should be assigned to projects in both the Regional and Division Impact tiers. The TAC later assigned their Regional local points at their July 2018 meeting and their Division points at their October 2018 meeting. The draft list of projects to be funded was released by NCDOT in January 2019, later revised in August 2019, and adopted by the Board of Transportation in September 2019.

In November 2020, the State Board of Transportation adopted a re-programmed STIP adjusting project schedules in order to ensure a fiscally constraint Program in accordance with federal law. Projects that were committed as a result of Prioritization 5.0 remain committed even if funding is shown through FY 2027. Projects that fall in FY 2030 or later are shown for either illustrative purposes or to show fiscal impact.

All highway projects in the TIP, including all phases, are the responsibility of NCDOT. Preliminary Engineering for all projects (except Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects) is accounted for under a Statewide Line Item which also includes environmental analysis.

Amendment 4 1 of 18

Local match for all projects is provided by NCDOT unless otherwise stated. Costs listed in the document are total costs. Most federal sources require a 20% local match, although some federal funding types either require no match or only 10%.

The Public Transportation section documents the expenditures of the Sections 5307 and 5303 grants. The MPO public participation process for the development of the TIP meets the requirements for the FTA Programming of Projects.

The final section of the document lists Statewide Projects. These projects are those that are programmed for work throughout the state and may be applicable in the Jacksonville area.


Performance Management

The Jacksonville Urban MPO has established performance management targets for highway safety, infrastructure condition, congestion, system reliability, emissions, freight movement and transit. The Jacksonville Urban MPO anticipates meeting their identified targets with the mix of projects included in the FY 2020-2029 TIP.

Transit Safety Planning and Target Settting

Public transit projects included in the STIP align with the transit safety planning and target setting process undertaken by the transit agencies and MPOs. While the North Carolina DOT aided with the development of a template for the initial Public Transportation Agency Safety Plans (PTASPs), each large urban transit provider is responsible for implementing its Public Transportation Agency Safety Plans (PTASP), which includes transit safety targets. Investments are made in alignment with PTASPs with the intent of keeping the state's public transit operations, vehicles, and facilities safe and meeting transit safety targets. State and federal funding sources that can be used by transit agencies for operations, vehicles, and facility improvements are outlined in the Public Transportation Project Funding section of the NCDOT 2020-2029 Current STIP. Individual transit agencies determine the use of these sources for capital and operating expenses based on their local needs.

Amendment 4 2 of 18



Amendment 4 3 of 18

Financial Plan

The Financial Plan includes projects in the first six years of the committed State Transportation Improvement Program (2020-2025) portion of the document as shown in Table 1. Information concerning the other years (2026-2029), including the Developmental Program projects, is included for illustrative purposes in Table 2.

Project prioritization is accomplished through the STI. The process for this TIP is known as P5.0 and was developed cooperatively by a workgroup designated in state law made up of NCDOT staff and representatives of MPOs, RPOs, and urban and rural coalitions. Criteria was established, defined, and weighted for all modes to be used to calculate quantitative scores. These scores account for 100% of the score at the Statewide Mobility tier, 70% at the Regional Impact tier, and 50% at the Division Needs tier. Local input points, in accordance with established local methodology, account for the remaining percentages at the Regional Impact and Division Needs tiers. The local input is divided equally between the NCDOT Division 3 Engineer and the MPO.

All expenditures are expressed in current year dollars as is listed in the document tables. However, it is anticipated that costs will rise over the life of the document by approximately 2% per year. Revenue is anticipated to be stable through the life of the document, ensuring funding availability for all projects within the first four years. Adjustments are made by NCDOT on an annual basis to ensure anticipated costs are reflective of the most current assessments.

Funding from the following federal programs is included:

- HSIP Highway Safety Improvement Program
- NHP National Highway Performance Program
- T State Highway Trust Funds
- FBUS Bus and Bus Facilities (5339)
- FMPL Metropolitan Planning (Transit)
- FUZ Urbanized Area Formula Grant (Transit)

Highway

Highway projects fall within many categories, including roadway widening, new roadway, roadway modernization, access management, intersection improvements, interchange upgrade, and bridge replacement. All highway projects, except bridge and safety, compete for funding through STI. Project selection ensures fiscal constraint at all levels. It is anticipated that revenue from all sources, both federal and state, will be available at the necessary levels for completion of programmed projects.

Highway Expenditures FY 2020-2025 (\$thousands)				
Funding Source Total Amount				
Surface Transportation Block Grant (Any Area)	\$8,700			
Highway Safety Improvement Program	\$0			
National Highway Performance Program	\$400			
State Highway Trust Funds	\$59,877			
Total	\$68,977			

Table 1

Amendment 4 4 of 18

Highway Expenditures* FY 2025-2029 (\$thousands)				
Funding Source	Total Amount			
National Highway Performance Program	\$1,000			
Highway Safety Improvement	\$1,500			
State Highway Trust Funds	\$78,977			
Total	\$81,477			

Table 2 *Anticipated funding

Public Transportation

The primary funding source for public transportation is through the Urbanized Area Formula Grant (Section 5307) fund. This requires a local match for operating expenses of 50% (Table 3). The local match for capital projects is 20% (Table 4). While the state can provide matching funds for capital projects, these are awarded on a competitive basis through STI and are therefore not assumed to be available. All revenue/expenditures for public transportation are based on year of expenditure, computed at 2% annually.

Transit Operating Expenditures FY 2020-2025 (\$thousands)					
FUZ	Local	Match	Total		
FUZ	SMAP	Local	iotai		
\$2,937	\$668	\$2,268	\$5,874		

Table 3

Transit Capital/Construction Expenditures FY 2020-2025 (\$thousands)					
FUZ	-	FBUS	Local Match		Total
FUZ	•	гвоз	State	Local	IOLAI
\$10,669	\$295	\$4,500	\$153	\$2,567	\$18,184

Table 4

The state provides assistance with planning and operating expenses.

-	Transit Plan	ning Expendit	tures FY 2020-	-2025 (\$thousands)
		Local	Match	Total
		State	Local	Total
FUZ	\$437	\$55	\$55	\$547
FMPL	\$183	\$32	\$32	\$247

Table 5

Anticipated public transportation revenue includes


	An	ticipated	d Revenue	FY 2020-20	025 (\$thous	ands)	
FUZ	FMPL	Т	FBUS	State	SMAP	Local	Total
\$14,043	\$183	\$295	\$4,500	\$240	\$240	\$4,923	\$24,852

Table 6

Amendment 4 5 of 18

HIGHWAY PROGRAM

TYPE OF WORK / ESTIMATED COST IN THOUSANDS / PROJECT BREAKS



(1) IDENT	TIFICATION NUMBER
ı	- Interstate
R, A	- Rural
M, X	Special
U	- Urban
В	- Bridge Replacement
AV	- Aviation
E	- Enhancements, Call,
EB	Bicycle & Pedestrian
EL	Local
ER	Roadside
S	
EE	- Mitigation
K	- Rest Area
L	- Landscape
P, SB	- Passenger Rail
SR	 Safe Routes to School
W, SI, SF	
Y, Z	 Railroad-Highway Crossings
F	- Ferry
FS	- Feasibility Study
T	- Public Transportation

APD - Appalachian Development	HRRR - High Risk Rural Roads
BA - Bonus Allocation	HSIP - Highway Safety Improvement Program
BG - Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (Uncategorized)	L - Local
BG5200 - Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (5K - 200K)	NHP - National Highway Performance Program
BGANY - Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (Any Area)	NHPB - National Highway Performance Program (Bridge)
BGBA - Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (Bonus Allocation)	NHPBA - National Highway Performance Program (Bonus Allocation)
BGDA - Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (Direct Attributable)	NHPIM - National Highway Performance Program (Interstate Maintenance)
BGIM - Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (Interstate Maintenance)	O - Other
BGLT5 - Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (Less than 5K)	S - State
BGOFF -Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (Off System Bridge)	S (M) - State Match
BOND (R) - Revenue Bond	T - State Highway Trust Funds
CMAQ - Congestion Mitigation	TA - Transportation Alternatives Program (Uncategorized)
DP - Discretionary or Demonstration	TA5200 - Transportation Alternatives Program (5K - 200K)
ER - Emergency Relief Funds	TAANY - Transportation Alternatives Program (Any Area)
FLAP - Federal Lands Access Program	TADA - Transportation Alternatives Program (Direct Attributable)
HP - Federal-Aid High Priority	TALT5 -Transportation Alternatives Program (Less than 5K)

(2) FUNDING KEY FOR HIGHWAY FUNDING SOURCES

(4) WORK TYPE (ACTIVITY) (3) FUNDING CATEGORY

- **DIV** Division A - Acquisition
- EX Exempt
- HF State Dollars (Non-STI)

TRN -Transition Project

- REG Regional
- CG Construction (GARVEE) SW - Statewide F - Feasibility Study
 - **G** Grading and Structures

C - Construction


- I Implementation
- L Landscaping
- M Mitigation
- O Operations
- P Paving
- PE Preliminary Engineering
- R Right of Way
- RB Right of Way (BUILD NC)

CB - Construction (BUILD NC)

- RG Right of Way (GARVEE)
- S Structure
- **U** Utilities

NON HIGHWAY PROGRAM

TYPE OF WORK / ESTIMATED COST IN THOUSANDS / PROJECT BREAKS



(1) FUNDING CATEGORY

DIV - Division

HF - State Dollars (Non-STI)

REG - Regional

SW - Statewide

(2) FUNDING SOURCES KEY

ADTAP - Applalachian Development Transportation Assistance Pgm. FSPR - State Planning and Research

BGDA - STBG Program - Direct Attributable

CMAQ - Congestion Mitigation

DP - Discretionary or Demonstration

FBBF - Bus and Bus Facilities Formula (5339)

FBUS - Bus and Bus Facilities (5339)

FED - Federal Rail Funds

FEDT - Undesignated Federal Transit Funds

FEPD - Enhanced Mobility Adults and People with Disabilities (5310)

FF - Federal Ferry

FLAP - Federal Lands Access Program

FMOD - Fixed Guideway Modifications

FMPL - Metropolitan and Statewide Planning (5303/5304)

FNF - New Freedom Program (5317)

FNS - New Starts - Fixed Guideway CIG - Capital (5309)

FNU - Non Urbanized Area Formula Program (5311)

FSGR - State of Good Repair Formula (Rail) (5337)

FSSO - Federal State Safety Oversight (Rail) (5329)

FUZ - Urbanized Area Formula Program (5307)

HP - Federal-Aid High Priority

JARC - Job Assistance and Reverse Commute (5316)

L - Local

O - Other

RR - Rail-Highway Safety

RTAP - Rural Transit Assistance Program

S - State

S (M) - State Match

SMAP - Operating Assistance and State Maintenance

SRTS - Safe Routes to School

STHSR - Stimulus High Speed Rail

T - State Highway Trust Funds

TADA - Transportation Alternatives Program - (Direct Attributable)

TIGER DISC - TIGER Discretionary Grants

(3) WORK TYPE (ACTIVITY)

A - Acquisition

AD - Administrative C - Construction

CP - Capital

I - Impementation

O - Operations

Oc - OPS Funded Capital

PE - Preliminary Engineering

PL - Planning / Design

R - Right-of-Way

					TOTAL	DDIOD						TYPE OF	WORK / ESTIMA	TED COST II	N THOUSANDS / I	ROJECT BRE	AKS				
СОММІТ	ED ROUTE/CITY				PROJ	YEARS					STATE TI	RANSPORTAT	ION IMPROVEM	NT PROGR	AM		DEVE	LOPMENTAL PR	ROGRAM		UNFUNDED
/ NON	COUNTY	ID Number	LOCATION / DESCRIPTION	LENGTH	COST (THOU) (COST (THOU) FUNDS	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 202	3 F	/ 2024	Y 2025	Y 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FUTURE YEARS
	PROJECTS US 17 JONES ONSLOW	R-2514	MULTI-LANES NORTH OF JACKSONVILLE TO NEW BERN BYPASS. WIDEN TO MULTI- LANES WITH BYPASSES OF BELGRADE, MAYSVILLE AND POLLOCKSVILLE ON NEW LOCATION.	22.1	233049	233049 PRE STI PRE STI PRE STI PRE STI	TRN A TRN B TRN C TRN D		MULTI-LANE SECTION SOUTH OF BELGRA NORTH OF MAYSVIL SOUTH OF NC 58 TO	DE TO NORTH OF LLE TO SOUTH OF	MAYSVILLE.	- UNDER CONS	TRUCTION TION	PLETE							
DIV	SR 1509 (QUEENS CREEK ROAD) ONSLOW	R-5948 H171545	JONES ROAD TO SR 1565 (SMALLWOOD ROAD). WIDEN ROADWAY.	2.2	25600	500 T T T									U 500 R 3500			C 211	C 9414	C 8454	
DIV	DARIOUS BRUNSWICK DUPLIN NEW HANOVER ONSLOW PENDER SAMPSON	R-5783	DIVISION 3 PROGRAM TO UPGRADE INTERSECTIONS TO COMPLY WITH THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) USING TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES (TA) FUNDS.		6280	3780 TA S	C 400 C 100	C 800 C 200	C 800 C 200												
							UN	DER CONSTRUC	CTION; "S" FUNDS REI	FLECT STATE HIG	HWAY FUND	S									
	✓ NC 24 (WEST CORBETT AVENUE)	R-5885 H171581	BELGRADE-SWANSBORO ROAD TO FRONT STREET. CONSTRUCT SUPERSTREET.	3	47289	1110 NHP NHP NHP NHP NHP NHP NHP						R	90 A 84 A		C 323 A R 7651 U 802	C 877 A	A	C 3914	C 12921	C 9077	
REG	ONSLOW					NOT APPLICABLE	REG A		REPLACE CULVERT	EAST OF WEST S	SHORE DRIVE										
URBAN ✓	PROJECTS US 17	U-4007	US 17 BUSINESS TO SR 1326 (DRUMMER KELLUM ROAD) IN JACKSONVILLE. ADD ADDITIONAL LANES AND CONSTRUCT ROUTES ON NEW LOCATION.	1.4	210529	93309 NHP NHP NHP NHP T											C 72 E	C 4405 E	C 2723 E		R 34775 C C 26300 C R 32345 D C 16600 D
	ONSLOW					PRE STI PRE STI NOT FUNDED NOT FUNDED P3.0 COMMITTED	A B C D SW E		SR 1308 (BELL FORI COUNTRY CLUB RO WESTERN BOULEV/ FAIRWAY DRIVE TO NC 53: FROM US 17	AD TO SR 1470 (W ARD TO FAIRWAY DRUMMER KELLL	/ESTERN BO DRIVE. UM ROAD.	JLEVARD) CO	MPLETE		5736 AND U-5508. R	GHT-OF-WAY IN	PROGRESS.				
sw	US 17 ONSLOW	U-6065 H150966	NC 172 (SNEADS FERRY ROAD/SR 1518 (OLD FOLKSTONE ROAD). INSTALL SUPER- STREET WITH INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.	1	11629	750 T T T HSIP						1000	C	1619 337	C 3647 C 760	C 1935 C 403					

DIV - Division Category EX - Exempt Category
HF - State Dollars (Non STI) REG - Regional Category
SW - Statewide Category TRN - Transition Project

COST AND SCHEDULES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE AS MORE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE

Tuesday, August 3, 2021

					TOTAL F	PRIOR								TYPE O	F WORK / E	STIMATED (COST IN TH	OUSANDS /	PROJECT BRE	AKS					
COMMITED	ROUTE/CITY				PROJ Y	YEARS						,	STATE TR	ANSPORT	ATION IMPE	ROVEMENT F	PROGRAM			DE	VELOPMENTA	L PROG	RAM		UNFUNDED
/ NON	COUNTY	ID NUMBER	LOCATION / DESCRIPTION	LENGTH	COST (THOU) (1		FUNDS	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022		FY 2023	FY	2024	FY 2025	FY 20	26 F	Y 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 20	30	FY 2031	FY 2032	FUTURE YEARS
(I B	DJECTS IS 17 BUSINESS MARINE BOULEVARD) DISLOW	U-5728 H140361	SR 1308 (BELL FORK ROAD) IN JACKSONVILLE. IMPROVE INTERSECTION.		7201	3201	Т								C 40	C 31	11 C	849							
✓ U	IS 17	U-6107	MCDANIEL DRIVE /WORKSHOP LANE.	0.5	1410	10	NHP	RI	GHT-OF-WAY IN	PROGRESS		П		т т	R 300	 	1 1 1				 	т т	 	 	
	DNSLOW		UPGRADE INTERSECTION.	0.0	1410		NHP NHP				3 E				U 100		C	467	C 533						
✓ U	10.47	11 5705	CD 4420 (OLD MADI FUUDCT DOAD) IN		91793	1900	IT I									 	I I RI	14433	RI 14433		<u> </u>		1 1	 	. —
()	WILMINGTON HIGHWAY)	U-5735 H090800	SR 1130 (OLD MAPLEHURST ROAD) IN JACKSONVILLE. CONSTRUCT INTERCHANG AND ASSOCIATED IMPROVEMENTS TO MCASNEW RIVER MAIN GATE.		91/93	1900	T T O		C 11	A C 1064							U	8328	K 14455	C 516	C 1306	9	C 14093	C 11671	
REG C	DNSLOW					ALTERN	NATE CRITE	RIA EX A		ROADWAY IMPR		TO DOUGL	AS GATE E	NTRANCE	OTHER FUND	OS REPRESEN	T DEPARTME	NT OF DEFEN	SE FUNDS			•			
✓ U	IS 17	U-5951	US 17 BUSINESS (MARINE BOULEVARD).	3	16019	1350	T						H				R	400	П					ПТ	
REG 0	DNSLOW	H150368	UPGRADE AT-GRÅDE INTERSECTION TÓ PARTIAL INTERCHANGE.				T											69			C 269	5	C 6949	C 4556	
(F	IS 258/NC 24 RICHLANDS IIGHWAY) DNSLOW	U-5739 H141536	SR 1212 (PONY FARM ROAD) TO SR 1213 (BLUE CREEK ROAD). CONSTRUCT SUPERSTREET.	1.9	25000	5200	Т								ΙΙ					C 6264	C 936	3	C 4173		
								RI	GHT-OF-WAY IN	PROGRESS -PROJ	ECT BEING	LET WITH V	VITH U-5716												
✓ U (F	IS 258/NC 24 RICHLANDS HIGHWAY	U-6148 H171392	SR 1329 (RHODESTOWN FIRE DEPARTMENT ROAD). IMPROVE INTERSECTION.	0.5	1479		NHP NHP												R 329 U 140		C 75				
REG 0	DNSLOW					l	INTP	1 1				1 1			1 1						0 75	<u> </u>		1 1 1	
✓ N		U-5741	NC 24 BUSINESS (JOHNSON BOULEVARD) TO	0 5.2	63131	2500	T	T				П	П				П		П	R 7366					
È	LEJEUNE BOULEVARD) DNSLOW	Н090909	NC 172. CONSTRUCT ACCESS MANAGEMEN IMPROVEMENTS.	I I			T													U 3665			C 6509	C 16747	
								DE	ROJECT INCLUDE	LC D ECEO															
✓	IC 24	U-5716	US 258 (RICHLANDS HIGHWAY)	1	90555	2150	T		ROJECT INCLUDE	E3 B-3030							R	15014	R 15014	R 15015					
sw o	DNSLOW	H111198	INTERSECTION. CONVERT AT-GRADE INTERSECTION TO INTERCHANGE.				T T						+			$\pm \pm$	U	2663		C 8748	C 1468	4	C 11024	C 6243	
J., 0									OO IECT DEWO !	ET WITH 11 5700															
✓ N	IC 24	U-5508	NC 53 (WESTERN BOULEVARD) IN	0.5	2912	1262	T		ROJECT BEING L	ET WITH U-5739			<u></u>	<u> </u>						C 16	C 163	4	<u> </u>		
(l B	LEJEUNE BOULEVARD) DNSLOW	H140840	JACKSONVILLE. UPGRADE INTERSECTION AND DRAINAGE.	-	-	- !									- 1			•				•	•		. <u> </u>
								RI	GHT-OF-WAY IN	PROGRESS - COM	BINE WITH U	J-5736 AND	U-4007E.												

DIV - Division Category
HF - State Dollars (Non STI)
SW - Statewide Category
Tuesday, August 3, 2021

EX - Exempt Category
REG - Regional Category
TRN - Transition Project

COST AND SCHEDULES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE AS MORE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE

		TOTAL PRIOR TYPE OF WORK / ESTIMATED COST IN THOUSANDS / PROJECT BREAKS																										
COMMIT	ED ROUTE/CITY				PROJ Y	'EARS						STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAM											UNFUNDED					
/ NON		ID Number	LOCATION / DESCRIPTION LE	NGTH	COST (THOU) (T	COST [HOU] FUND:	FY 2020	FY 2	2021	FY 2022	!	FY 20	23	FY 2024	FY	2025	FY 20	26	FY 202	7	FY 20	28	FY 2029)	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FUTURE YEARS
	PROJECTS NC 53 (WESTERN BOULEVARD) ONSLOW		SR 1308 (GUM BRANCH ROAD) TO US 17 (MARINE BOULEVARD). UPGRADE TO SUPERSTREET.	3.4	32400	1000 T T T											R 240							С	280	C 12180	C 11124	
REG	NC 53 (WESTERN BOULEVARD) ONSLOW	U-5789 H140364	SR 2714 (JACKSONVILLE PARKWAY). IMPROVE INTERSECTION.		6533	1983 T									C	1758	C 279	02	I									
								RIGHT-OF-WA	Y IN PROGE	RESS	_								,									
✓ REG	NC 210		US 17 TO SOUTH OF SR 1518 (OLD FOLKSTONE ROAD). WIDEN TO MULTI- LANES.	5.8	96987	1150 T T T										4171 8797	R 417			Ħ		+		С	9787	C 25302	C 20312	
✓ TRN	SR 1308 (GUM BRANCH ROAD) ONSLOW		SR 1470 (WESTERN BOULEVARD) IN JACKSONVILLE. IMPROVE INTERSECTION.		6403	6403																						
							ι	UNDER CONS	TRUCTION																			
✓ [SR 1308 (GUM BRANCH ROAD)		SR 1322 (SUMMERSILL SCHOOL ROAD) TO SR 1390 (COUNTRY CLUB BOULEVARD). UPGRADE TO SUPERSTREET.	3.8	66510	10 TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT																	R 6250 U 500 R 6300 U 4000	B R		C 1977 A	C 4908 A	
	ONSLOW					NOT COMMITTED						CHOOL RO																
						NOT COMMITTED	DIV	B H170	491 SR 1	324 (RAMSE	Y ROAD) TO SR 139	0 (COUNTR	Y CLUB BOL	ULEVARD)													
DIV	SR 1308 (GUM BRANCH ROAD) ONSLOW		WILLIAMSBURG PARKWAY TO INDIAN DRIVE. UPGRADE TO SUPERSTREET.	0.9	12073	10 T T T															R 21			C	2214	C 4834	C 1752	
✓ [SR 1308 (BELL FORK ROAD)	U-6082 H150967	SR 1403 (COUNTRY CLUB ROAD/HARGETT STREET). IMPROVE INTERSECTION.	1	3900	500 T T T									R U	1000 500			C 472	2	C 14	28						
DIV	ONSLOW											_			_	_	_		_		_			_				
✓ TRN	SR 1308 (GUM BRANCH ROAD) ONSLOW		WEST OF SR 1313 (MILLS FIELDS ROAD) TO EAST OF SR 1324 (RAMSEY ROAD) IN JACKSONVILLE. WIDENING.	5.3	15178	5278 BGANY		c	99	C 4721		C 413	9 C	941	П			П	Τ				<u> </u>					
							F	RIGHT-OF-WA	Y IN PROGE	RESS																		

DIV - Division Category EX - Exempt Category
HF - State Dollars (Non STI) REG - Regional Category
SW - Statewide Category TRN - Transition Project

COST AND SCHEDULES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE AS MORE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE

Tuesday, August 3, 2021

TYPE OF WORK / ESTIMATED COST IN THOUSANDS / PROJECT BREAKS TOTAL PRIOR STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAM UNFUNDED PROJ YEARS COMMITED ROUTE/CITY COST COST **FUTURE YEARS** NUMBER LOCATION / DESCRIPTION FY 2029 FY 2032 LENGTH **FUNDS** FY 2022 FY 2023 FY 2027 FY 2028 FY 2031 COUNTY (THOU) (THOU) FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2024 FY 2025 FY 2026 FY 2030 URBAN PROJECTS

✓ US 17 BUSI US 17 BUSINESS U-5950 SR 1336 (HENDERSON DRIVE). IMPROVE 1585 H150377 INTERSECTION. (MARINE BOULEVARD) REG ONSLOW US 258/NC 24 SR 1219 (BLUE CREEK ROAD)/SR 1396 (RIDGE 0.5 3635 3635 H142183 ROAD). REALIGN INTERSECTIONS TO FORM (RICHLANDS ONE AT-GRADE INTERSECTION. HIGHWAY) SW ONSLOW LINDER CONSTRUCTION NC 53 (WESTERN BOULEVARD) TO SR 2715 0.3 800 U-5787 10139 MCDANIEL DRIVE IN JACKSONVILLE. (TRADE STREET) H140510 CONSTRUCT ROADWAY ON NEW LOCATION. ONSLOW NC 53 U-5736 US 17 (MARINE BOULEVARD) TO NC 24 2.6 44378 5580 (LEJEUNE BOULEVARD) IN JACKSONVILLE. (WESTERN CONSTRUCT ACCESS MANAGEMENT BOULEVARD) IMPROVEMENTS. ONSLOW COMBINED WITH U-4007E AND U-5508 - RIGHT-OF-WAY IN PROGRESS. COMMERCE DRIVE TO SR 1406 (PINEY GREEN 0.2 COMMERCE DRIVE U-5878 6025 2225 T C 788 C 2902 C H140368 ROAD). CONSTRUCT ROADWAY ON NEW **EXTENSION** LOCATION. ONSLOW **RIGHT-OF-WAY IN PROGRESS** NC 53 (WESTERN BOULEVARD) TO US 17 SR 2714 U-5791 3.83 83637 1750 6707 A (JACKSONVILLE (NEW BERN HIGHWAY). WIDEN TO MULTI-U 1963 A 9163 A LANES, PART ON NEW LOCATION. 387 A C 12774 A PARKWAY 3371 A 9888 B EXTENSION) C 22600 B ONSLOW DIV NC 53 (WESTERN BOULEVARD) TO SR 1324 (RAMSEY ROAD). - PLANNING/DESIGN IN PROGRESS P4.0 COMMITTED NOT FUNDED SR 1324 (RAMSEY ROAD) TO US 17 (NEW BERN HIGHWAY). SR 1308 (GUM BRANCH ROAD) TO NC 53 ✓ SR 1336 U-5903 1.1 39299 1000 (WESTERN BOULEVARD). UPGRADE TO H111197 (HENDERSON SUPERSTREET. ROAD) ONSLOW **BRIDGE PROJECTS** B-5944 REPLACE BRIDGE 660077 OVER QUEEN'S 11099 SR 1509 (QUEENS CREEK CREEK. ROAD) ONSLOW

DIV - Division Category EX - Exempt Category
HF - State Dollars (Non STI) REG - Regional Category
SW - Statewide Category TRN - Transition Project

COST AND SCHEDULES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE AS MORE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE

Tuesday, August 3, 2021

					TOTAL F	DIOD						TYPE (OF WORK / ES	TIMATED COS	T IN THOU	JSANDS / I	PROJECT BRE	AKS				
COMMITE) DOLLTE/OLTY				PROJ Y	EARS					STATE T	RANSPORT	ATION IMPRO	OVEMENT PRO	GRAM			DEV	ELOPMENTAL PI	ROGRAM		UNFUNDED
/ NON	ROUTE/CITY COUNTY	ID Number	LOCATION / DESCRIPTION	LENGTH	COST (THOU) (1	COST 'HOU) FUNDS	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY	2023 F	Y 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY	2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FUTURE YEARS
	ON PROJECTS VARIOUS BRUNSWICK DUPLIN NEW HANOVER ONSLOW PENDER	EE-4903	ECOSYSTEMS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM FOR DIVISION 3 PROJECT MITIGATION.		5505	5505																
	SAMPSON																					
							IN	PROGRESS														
	SAFETY PROJEC		OD 4400 (HOLLY OUT TED DOAD)		40	40				-												
TRN	NC 53 ONSLOW	SF-4903F	SR 1109 (HOLLY SHELTER ROAD). REALIGNMENT AND LANE CONSTRUCTION.		49	49																
							UN	IDER CONSTRUCT	ION - COMBINED FOR	R LETTING W	ITH R-5023B ANI) C.										
✓	NC 172	W-5602	CAMP LEJEUNE GATE TO NC 210. CONVERT	Т	25075	13657 T		CB 472	CB 472	СВ	472 CB	472	CB 472	CB 472	СВ	472	CB 472	CB 472	CB 472	CB 472	CB 472	
REG	ONSLOW		TWO LANE ROADWAY TO THREE LANE ROADWAY WITH A TWO WAY LEFT TURN LANE.			Т	C 4338															
							UN	IDER CONSTRUCT	ION. BUILD NC BOND) FUNDING: \$	5.500.000 FOR C	ON PAYBACK	C 2021 - 2035 (FY	2021 / YRS 2&3 S	SALF).							
✓	VARIOUS	HS-2003	SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS AT VARIOUS		3466	HSIP		I I I	C 34 A		0,000,000 0.110	I I	1 2000 (1 1	1	J	$\overline{}$	\Box					
			LOCATIONS IN DIVISION 3.			HSIP		C 34 B	C 3398 B													
DIV	BRUNSWICK DUPLIN					ALTERNATE CRITI	RIA DIV A		INSTALL NEAR SIDE	SIGNAL HEA	DS ON A PEDES	TAL ON BOTH	H SIDES OF SR	1217 (17TH STREE	ET) AT DOC	K STREET I	N WILMINGTON.					
	NEW HANOVER					ALTERNATE CRITI	RIA DIV B	1	INSTALL PAVEMENT	MARKINGS A	ALONG VARIOUS	ROUTES.										
	ONSLOW																					
	PENDER																					
	SAMPSON																					
✓	VARIOUS	W-5803	SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN DIVISION 3.		623	HSIP HSIP			C 42 A C 425 B	\mathbf{H}	-	-			-	-					+	
			LOCATIONS IN DIVISION 3.			HSIP	+		C 425 B	┨┝┼┼	+++	++	+	++-+	++	+	H				++-+	1
						HSIP			C 17 D	1 🗀						\blacksquare						
	BRUNSWICK					HSIP			C 57 E		47 (MILITARY OL	7055 0040	AND 110 74 /54	ATIMOOD DOAD	1 1							
	DUPLIN					ALTERNATE CRITI			US 74 (EASTWOOD R	•	•		•	•	•				RIAN SIGNALS.			
	NEW HANOVER					ALTERNATE CRITI			US 17 BETWEEN NEV										NETANCE			
	ONSLOW					ALTERNATE CRITI			US 17 BUSINESS (MA NC 24 (WEST CORBE		-	-					I WAKKINGS, AN	ID IMPROVE SIGHT I	DISTANCE.			
	PENDER SAMPSON					ALTERNATE CRITI			NC 53 (WESTERN BO	•	•		•				ONAL SPEED LII	MIT SIGNS.				
												,					• •. === =					
									TS AND FUNDING TO	BE REQUES	TED IN THE FUT	URE AS NEE	DED.									. ——
	VARIOUS	W-5703	SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN DIVISION 3.		2586	2536 HSIP HSIP HSIP	C 15 DI C 15 RE C 20 SV	:G								\blacksquare						
	BRUNSWICK					ALTERNATE CRITI			SAFETY IMPROVEME	ENTS AT VAR	IOUS LOCATION	S.										
	DUPLIN NEW HANOVER					ALTERNATE CRITI	RIA REG R	EG	SAFETY IMPROVEME	ENTS AT VAR	IOUS LOCATION	S.										
	ONSLOW					ALTERNATE CRITI	RIA SW S	w :	SAFETY IMPROVEME	ENTS AT VAR	IOUS LOCATION	S.										
	PENDER																					
	SAMPSON																					
							IN	PROGRESS														

COST AND SCHEDULES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE AS MORE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE

DIV - Division Category EX - Exempt Category
HF - State Dollars (Non STI) REG - Regional Category
SW - Statewide Category TRN - Transition Project

Tuesday, August 3, 2021

Amendment 4

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

TYPE OF WORK / ESTIMATED COST IN THOUSANDS / PROJECT BREAKS TOTAL PRIOR STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAM UNFUNDED PROJ YEARS COMMITED ROUTE/CITY ID COST COST **FUTURE YEARS** NUMBER FY 2029 FY 2032 LOCATION / DESCRIPTION LENGTH **FUNDS** FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 2023 FY 2027 FY 2028 FY 2031 COUNTY (THOU) (THOU) FY 2024 FY 2025 FY 2026 FY 2030 **HIGHWAY SAFETY PROJECTS** DIVISION 3 RUMBLE STRIPS, GUARDRAIL, 22135 21485 HSIP C 650 VARIOUS W-5203 SAFETY AND LIGHTING IMPROVEMENTS AT BRUNSWICK ALTERNATE CRITERIA DIV DIV DIVISION 3 RUMBLE STRIPS, GUARDRAIL, SAFETY AND LIGHTING IMPROVEMENTS AT SELECTED LOCATIONS. SELECTED LOCATIONS. DUPLIN ALTERNATE CRITERIA REG REG DIVISION 3 RUMBLE STRIPS, GUARDRAIL, SAFETY AND LIGHTING IMPROVEMENTS AT SELECTED LOCATIONS. **NEW HANOVER** DIVISION 3 RUMBLE STRIPS, GUARDRAIL, SAFETY AND LIGHTING IMPROVEMENTS AT SELECTED LOCATIONS. AI TERNATE CRITERIA SW SW ONSLOW PENDER SAMPSON DIVISION PURCHASE ORDER CONTRACT (DPOC) - IN PROGRESS **AVIATION PROJECTS** AV-5733 DESIGN AND CONSTRUCT RUNWAY 23 ALBERT J. ELLIS 750 HOLDING APRON AIRPORT (OAJ) A130292 REG ONSLOW ALBERT J. ELLIS AV-5806 EXTEND RUNWAY 23 AND TAXIWAY A. 12880 C 12580 A150610 AIRPORT (OAJ) REG ONSLOW OTHER FUNDS ARE AIRPORT FUNDS ALBERT J. ELLIS AV-5805 ACQUIRE LAND FOR RUNWAY EXTENSION 361 AND ROADWAY RELOCATION. A150604 AIRPORT (OAJ) REG ONSLOW OTHER FUNDS ARE AIRPORT FUNDS ALBERT J. ELLIS AV-5804 ACQUIRE LAND FOR ROADWAY 697 RELOCATION, RPZ AND RUNWAY EXTENSION. A150605 AIRPORT (OAJ) REG ONSLOW OTHER FUNDS ARE AIRPORT FUNDS **BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN PROJECTS** EB-6012 CHANEY AVENUE TO WARDOLA DRIVE IN TA5200 DOWNTOWN 0.6 799 **GREENWAY** B171216 JACKSONVILLE. CONSTRUCT MULTI-USE PATH. DIV ONSLOW JACKSONVILLE EB-4705 LEJEUNE BOULEVARD GREENWAY, SOUTH 1956 1956 SIDE OF NC 24 (LEJEUNE BOULEVARD), ONSLOW MONTFORD POINT ROAD TO U-5132

DIV - Division Category EX - Exempt Category
HF - State Dollars (Non STI) REG - Regional Category
SW - Statewide Category TRN - Transition Project

COST AND SCHEDULES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE AS MORE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE

TYPE OF WORK / ESTIMATED COST IN THOUSANDS / PROJECT BREAKS TOTAL PRIOR STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM UNFUNDED DEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAM PROJ YEARS COMMITED ROUTE/CITY ID COST COST / NON **FUTURE YEARS** NUMBER (THOU) (THOU) FY 2022 FY 2023 FY 2027 FY 2028 FY 2029 FY 2032 COUNTY LOCATION / DESCRIPTION LENGTH FUNDS FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2024 FY 2025 FY 2030 FY 2031 FY 2026 FERRY PROJECTS

VARIOUS REPLACEMENT VESSEL (SUPPORT FLEET) C 3250 A F-5703 11600 6250 FOR TUGS AND BARGES F130001 C 2100 B DIV BEAUFORT P4.0 COMMITTED DIV A F130001 Z-DRIVE TOWING AND PUSHING TUG - UNDER CONSTRUCTION BERTIE P4.0 COMMITTED DIV B F130001 TWO SUPPORT/ANCHOR TUGS, ONE SUPPORT TUG, THREE BARGES - UNDER CONSTRUCTION BRUNSWICK CAMDEN CARTERET CHOWAN CRAVEN CURRITUCK DARE DUPLIN **GATES** GREENE HERTFORD HYDE **JONES** LENOIR MARTIN **NEW HANOVER** NORTHAMPTON ONSLOW PAMLICO **PASQUOTANK** PENDER PERQUIMANS SAMPSON TYRRELL WASHINGTON **PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS** JACKSONVILLE TA-4943 EXPANSION BUS - FIXED ROUTE PT ONSLOW JACKSONVILLE TA-4944 REPLACEMENT BUS - FIXED ROUTE 4450 1934 TRANSIT ONSLOW JACKSONVILLE TD-4904 FACILITY - TRANSIT CENTER - DOWNTOWN 11810 755 4950 5307 TRANSIT C 4500 5309 C 1310 DIV ONSLOW JACKSONVILLE TG-5225 ROUTINE CAPITAL-BUS STOP SHELTERS, 618 5307 TRANSIT BENCHES, SHOP EQUIPMENT, SPARE PARTS, ENGINES, SERVICE VEHICLES, ETC. ONSLOW PΤ

DIV - Division Category EX - Exempt Category
HF - State Dollars (Non STI) REG - Regional Category
SW - Statewide Category TRN - Transition Project

COST AND SCHEDULES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE AS MORE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE

Tuesday, August 3, 2021

TYPE OF WORK / ESTIMATED COST IN THOUSANDS / PROJECT BREAKS TOTAL PRIOR STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAM UNFUNDED PROJ YEARS COMMITED ROUTE/CITY ID COST COST / NON **FUTURE YEARS** NUMBER FY 2032 LOCATION / DESCRIPTION LENGTH **FUNDS** FY 2020 FY 2022 FY 2023 FY 2027 FY 2028 FY 2029 FY 2031 COUNTY (THOU) (THOU) FY 2021 FY 2024 FY 2025 FY 2026 FY 2030 PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS

✓ JACKSONVILLE TG-5220 5307 32 CP JACKSONVILLE TRANSIT - MOBILITY 478 166 MANAGEMENT TRANSIT ONSLOW JACKSONVILLE TG-5109 PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE - FIXED ROUTE 3102 1026 5307 **TRANSIT** 5307 PT ONSLOW JACKSONVILLE TG-4952 NON-FIXED ROUTE ADA PARATRANSIT 927 5307 TRANSIT ONSLOW JACKSONVILLE TG-5225C MOBILITY MANAGEMENT 890 237 **TRANSIT** PT ONSLOW JACKSONVILLE TL-0001 CONSTRUCT AN URBAN FIXED-ROUTE 1000 TRANSIT SATELLITE TRANSFER FACILITY FOR JACKSONVILLE TRANSIT TO INCLUDE ONSLOW PUBLIC PARKING, SHELTERS, RESTROOMS AND PESSENGER TERMINAL NEW PROJECT DEVELOPED FOR FEDERAL FUNDING AWARD. **JACKSONVILLE** TL-0002 CONSTRUCT PEDESTRIAN ACCESS AND TRANSIT SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS FOR JACKSONVILLE TRANSIT WITHIN 1/2 MILE OF ONSLOW HIGH VOLUME URBAN FIXED ROUTE BUS STOPS. IMPROVEMENTS WILL INCLUDE NEW SIDE WALK CONNECTIONS, ADA RETROFIT OF EXISTING SIDE WALKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF HIGH VISIBILITY CROSSWALKS AT KEY ROADWAY INTERSECTIONS. NEW PROJECT DEVELOPED FOR FEDERAL FUNDING AWARD. JACKSONVILLE TO-4923 OPERATING ASSISTANCE 5307 14066 6076 TRANSIT 399 413 427 344 385 441 357 371 SMAP PT ONSLOW JACKSONVILLE TP-4908 PLANNING ASSISTANCE - 5307 1093 5307 TRANSIT PT ONSLOW **JACKSONVILLE** TP-5102 PLANNING ASSISTANCE - 5303 270 5303 TRANSIT ONSLOW

DIV - Division Category EX - Exempt Category
HF - State Dollars (Non STI) REG - Regional Category
SW - Statewide Category TRN - Transition Project

COST AND SCHEDULES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE AS MORE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE

Tuesday, August 3, 2021

TYPE OF WORK / ESTIMATED COST IN THOUSANDS / PROJECT BREAKS TOTAL PRIOR STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAM UNFUNDED PROJ YEARS COMMITED ROUTE/CITY ID COST COST **FUTURE YEARS** NUMBER FY 2028 FY 2032 LOCATION / DESCRIPTION LENGTH (THOU) (THOU) FUNDS FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 2023 FY 2024 FY 2025 FY 2027 FY 2029 FY 2030 FY 2031 COUNTY FY 2026 PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS

JACKSONVILLE TS-5114 138 5307 CP 17 CP 18 CP 19 CP 20 CP 21 CP 21 TS-5114 SAFETY & SECURITY - MIN. 1% SET ASIDE **TRANSIT** PT ONSLOW FUNDS AUTHORIZED IN PROGRESS JACKSONVILLE TT-4907 TECHNOLOGY - VEHICLE TRACKING, FARE 2433 1293 5307 216 TRANSIT COLLECTION, PASSENGER INFORMATION. DATA COMMUNICATIONS, TRAFFIC SIGNAL ONSLOW ONSLOW UNITED TK-6150 ADMINISTRATION 3018 2150 **TRANSIT** JONES ONSLOW PAMLICO **FUNDS AUTHORIZED IN PROGRESS PASSENGER RAIL PROJECTS** VARIOUS TRAFFIC SEPARATION STUDY RC-2003 IMPLEMENTATION AND CLOSURES IN DIV BRUNSWICK DIVISION 3. DUPLIN NEW HANOVER ONSLOW PENDER SAMPSON PROGRAMMED FOR PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING ONLY, INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS AND FUNDING TO BE REQUESTED IN THE FUTURE AS NEEDED. VARIOUS RX-2003 HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE CROSSING SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS IN DIVISION 3. DIV BRUNSWICK DUPLIN **NEW HANOVER** ONSLOW PENDER SAMPSON PROGRAMMED FOR PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING ONLY. INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS AND FUNDING TO BE REQUESTED IN THE FUTURE AS NEEDED. VARIOUS TRAFFIC SEPARATION STUDY IMPLEMENTATION AND CLOSURES IN BRUNSWICK DUPLIN **NEW HANOVER** ONSLOW PENDER SAMPSON INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS AND FUNDING TO BE REQUESTED IN THE FUTURE AS NEEDED.

DIV - Division Category EX - Exempt Category
HF - State Dollars (Non STI) REG - Regional Category
SW - Statewide Category TRN - Transition Project

COST AND SCHEDULES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE AS MORE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE

Tuesday, August 3, 2021

TOTAL PRIOR

TYPE OF WORK / ESTIMATED COST IN THOUSANDS / PROJECT BREAKS

COMMITED POLITE/CITY		PROJ YEARS		S	TATE TRANSPO	RTATION IMPRO	VEMENT PROGI	RAM		DEVE	LOPMENTAL PR	OGRAM		UNFUNDED
/ NON ROUTE/CITY ID COUNTY NUMBER	LOCATION / DESCRIPTION	COST COST LENGTH (THOU) (THOU) FUNDS FY 2020	FY 2021 FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FUTURE YEARS

PASSENGER RAIL PROJECTS
VARIOUS Z-5803 BRUNSWICK

DUPLIN

NEW HANOVER ONSLOW

PENDER SAMPSON HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE CROSSING SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS IN DIVISION 3.

INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS AND FUNDING TO BE REQUESTED IN THE FUTURE AS NEEDED.

DIV - Division Category EX - Exempt Category HF - State Dollars (Non STI) REG - Regional Category SW - Statewide Category TRN - Transition Project

COST AND SCHEDULES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE AS MORE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE



RESOLUTION ADOPTING AMENDMENT 4 RE-PROGRAMMING OF THE JACKSONVILLE URBAN AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION FY 2020-2029 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

for adoption of the following resolution, and upon being put to a vote, was duly adopted.

A motion was made by _____ and seconded by ____

WHEREAS , the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration require Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) to develop a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in cooperation with the State and affect public transportation operators within their planning jurisdiction; and
WHEREAS , the Jacksonville Urban Area MPO has developed a TIP to include capital and non-capital surface transportation projects within the Jacksonville urban planning area;
WHEREAS , the TIP includes a financial plan that demonstrates how it can be implemented within anticipated fiscal constraints; and
WHEREAS , the Transportation Advisory Committee reviewed the FY 2020-2029 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP), originally adopted in December of 2019; and
WHEREAS , Amendment 1, adopted on June 11, 2020; Amendment 2, adopted on November 19, 2020; and Amendment 3, adopted on May 13, 2021 included a re-programming of projects by adjusting project schedules and/or funding in order to be a fiscally constrained Plan; and
WHEREAS , the TIP now requires an adjustment in project schedules and funding in order to be a fiscally constrained Plan, which is hereby presented as Amendment 4; and
WHEREAS , a draft of the MTIP has been advertised for public comment in accordance with the Jacksonville Metropolitan Planning Organization Public Participation Plan and no comments were received; and
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED , that the Transportation Advisory Committee hereby adopts Amendment 3 to the FY 2020-2029 Transportation Improvement Program, on this 19 th day of August, 2021.
Robert Warden, Chairman
Subscribed and sworn to me this day of 2021.
Notary Public My commission expires





Transportation Advisory Committee Action Required

To: Transportation Advisory Committee

From: Deanna Trebil, MPO Administrator

Subject: 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Amendment 3

8/19/2021

The Transportation Advisory Committee adopted the 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) on March 12, 2020, Amendment 1 on November 19, 2020, and Amendment 2 on March 11, 2021. The MTP is our long range plan for the Jacksonville urbanized area. Staff is recommending updates to include adding Bicycle and Pedestrian projects that have been identified and submitted as part of the Prioritization 6.0 cycle. In order for projects to be scored and funded, one criteria is that the project must be identified in our MTP.

Additionally, this Amendment to the MTP includes the a new section on Resiliency, which is a culmination of the efforts of the Transportation Resiliency Action Committee (TRAC) that began two years ago after Jacksonville endured the effects of Hurricane Florence. TRAC identified and prioritized crossings that flooded in Florence as well as an unnamed event in 2010, with the goal of working with NCDOT either through future State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) projects or through maintenance projects to make improvements to crossings where needed.

The Draft 2045 MTP Amendment 3 was uploaded to JUMPO's website for public comment on July 1, 2021, which can be viewed here: http://jumpo-nc.org/.

TCC Recommended Action: Approval of the 2045 MTP Amendment 3

Attachment: 2045 MTP Amendment 3

Resolution



Introduction

Hurricane Florence, a large and slow moving category one hurricane, made landfall during the morning of September 14, 2018. After the eye crossed Wrightsville Beach, the storm spent the next two days producing record-breaking rainfall across eastern North Carolina. Over 30 inches of rain fell, exceeding the highest single-storm rainfall amounts ever seen in North Carolina according to the National Weather Service.

The City of Jacksonville and Onslow County experienced flooding countywide in areas that have never flooded before. Higher grounds were surrounded by water creating pockets of islands as well as flooding of major highways and corridor roads. This resulted in the inability for goods and services to be delivered and emergency personnel unable to respond. This record-breaking flooding event highlighted the need to develop a resiliency plan to ensure that if an event such as this occurred again, the transportation network in Onslow County would be robust enough that it would not be impacted as bad as it was with Hurricane Florence.

After the storm passed, the Jacksonville Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (JUMPO) identified members of the community to begin discussing how to evaluate and mitigate the flooding within Onslow County and its impact on the transportation network. Stakeholders included members from Marine Corps Installations East, Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune, Onslow County Emergency Services, North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT), MPO, and City of Jacksonville Departments: Fire, Public Services, and Planning. These members formed the Transportation Resiliency Action Committee (TRAC).

Objectives

TRAC began meeting in January 2019 with the goal to strengthen mobility to improve community resiliency. They established the following objectives:

- 1. Reduce the frequency and duration of regional isolation.
- 2. Reduce the frequency and duration of local isolation from strategic points of interest.
- 3. Identify and implement operational systems that improve mobility.

The goal was to use data that is publicly available and maintained by others creating a framework that could be easily reproduced by others.

Key Areas of Focus

The goal of this study is to systematically determine which transportation improvements will affect the most substantial reduction in frequency and duration of isolation in the transportation network.

- Frequency: How often does a crossing overtop the road?
- Criticality: How critical is a crossing to the overall transportation network?
- **Duration**: When a crossing does overtop, how long does the road remain impassible?



Road Classification

Stakeholders agreed to focus the study on NCDOT roads, as existing culvert and bridge elevations are readily available thereby eliminating the need to survey crossings throughout Onslow County.

Roads were then subdivided into three categories:

- Regional Corridor (Arterial) significant roadway that serves major movement within an urbanized area around major areas of activity with higher volume corridors. Carries designation of interstate, other freeway or expressway or other principal arterial (with no control of access). Examples include US 258, US 17, NC 53, etc.
- Major Collector Road serves as both land access service and traffic circulation in higher density residential, commercial/industrial areas. Operates at higher speeds and has more signalized intersections. Examples include Henderson Drive, Bell Fork Road, Gum Branch Road, etc.
- Minor Collector Road serves as both land access service and traffic circulation in lower density residential, commercial/industrial areas. Operates at lower speeds and has fewer signalized intersections. Examples include Blue Creek Road, Liberty Drive, Corbin Road, etc.

Crossings Identified

All FEMA studied streams that intersect with NCDOT road were then identified resulting in 158 crossings in Onslow County. By using the road classification stated above, TRAC was able to reduce the number of intersections to 83 priority drainage crossings as identified in <u>Table 1</u>.

Frequency

With crossings identified, the next step was to figure out how often that crossing floods and at what depth. NC QL2 LiDAR was used to determine the road overtopping elevation at each drainage crossing.

Minor modifications were made to the raw NC QL2 LiDAR:

- NC QL2 LiDAR elevation points classified as road surface and classified as bridge deck
 points were used to create a road surface elevation raster for Onslow County outside of
 Marine Corps property.
- Within the Camp Lejeune area, NC QL2 LiDAR did not contain road surface or bridge deck classifications. All LiDAR elevation points contained only the elevation. The federal TIGER roads centerline file was buffered and all LiDAR points within that buffer were confirmed to be representative of the road elevations within Camp Lejeune and added to the road surface elevation raster.



- In several bridge crossings, the LiDAR represented the channel beneath the bridge deck and not the bridge deck itself. In these locations, the road surface elevation raster was edited based on the adjacent road surface.
- For each drainage crossing the road overtopping elevation is the lowest elevation covering the width of the road surface raster.

NC flood maps (FEMA) provided water surface elevation raster datasets for the 10, 25, 50, 100, 500-year return interval flood events for both the most up to date preliminary and effective HEC-RAS model outputs. The effective raster datasets was used to fill in areas where the preliminary raster datasets did not fully cover the FEMA floodplain for all five (5) return interval events within the county. This created five (5) combined raster datasets with full coverage of the FEMA floodplain within the county, utilizing the more recent (preliminary) data where possible.

The water surface elevation grids were then compared to the road overtopping elevation to determine the frequency of overtopping at each crossing.



Figure 1: Example of a Depth Raster provided by NC Floodmaps (100yr).




Figure 2: Example of 1 Dimensional HEC-RAS model water surface elevations. These water surface elevations were used to create the Depth Raster seen above (100yr).

Criticality

The next element to consider is the criticality of the road, meaning is there a reasonable detour route available if a crossing was flooded and the road impassable. Detours were routed along paved roads only. Six (6) detour routes include roads on Camp Lejeune property and were noted in the detour attributes. <u>Table 2</u> shows the detour length in miles used to add a priority rating to each crossing.

The existence of a detour for adjacent studied crossings were considered together only when there were no residences between them (refer to Figures 3 and 4). Crossings with no detour (crossings that do not overtop) in a given return period interval (10, 25, 50, 100, 500-year) event were set to 100 miles resulting in an unreasonable detour length or no detour was available. These results further added to the priority rating for each crossing.





Figure 3: In this situation, there are residences located between both crossings, so detour routing was analyzed for each crossing to provide a route for those residences. These are Crossings 14 and 11 (left and right respectively) along Beulaville Hwy.



Figure 4: In this situation, there are no residences located between the crossings so detour routing was analyzed around both crossings rather than each one individually. These are Crossings 83 and 82 (left and right respectively) along Sneads Ferry Road.




Initial Analysis

Each crossing location was then prioritized based on the road classification, frequency and criticality of the road. The results provided insight that a majority of the crossings were a low priority, meaning that the probability of them overtopping were minimal if at all. Likewise, 24 crossings were high priority meaning that the crossing would flood.

The methodology and results of the static model were reviewed by TRAC to validate the results. Stakeholders provided feedback on each crossing given their past experience with flooding events and with Hurricane Florence. From this meeting, some of the crossing priorities changed helping to inform the development of the two-dimension modeling basins, the next step in evaluating the transportation network. Additionally, two additional crossings were added: #84 – Stormwater pipe at Bear Creek Road and #85 – Pond at Kingsbridge Rd based on known historical flooding.

Crossing Priority



Duration

When evaluating where the 85 crossings are located within these drainage basins, TRAC made the decision to proceed forward with 3-D modeling portions of the New River Basin and the Southwest Creek Basin as shown in Figure 5.

The basins chosen by TRAC have the largest number of crossings, proximity of these crossings to locations of importance, and historical knowledge of road closures. These basins included a total of 41 crossings, 33 crossings located within New River Basin and eight (8) crossings located within the Southwest Creek basin. These basins were modeled to determine duration of flooding by evaluating rain events and looking at the depth of flooding and the intensity of rainfall.






Figure 5: Two-Dimensional HEC-RAS Model Extents



Unsteady (change over time) two-dimensional HEC-RAS modeling was used to determine the duration of overtopping for the crossings. This differs from typical FEMA models that are one-dimensional and steady flow (peak flow only) which determine the maximum water surface elevation, but do not provide any information about the length of time of flow or flooding. In flooding events, it is important to know how long certain roads will be impassible and for how long certain neighborhoods will be isolated.

The basins were delineated based on LiDAR data provided by the NC Floodplain Mapping Program. Existing preliminary and effective one-dimensional HEC-RAS model geometry data was leveraged where possible to incorporate more detail into the model and to represent structures more accurately (culvert specifications for example). Breaklines utilizing smaller cell sizes were enforced to better define major flow paths and roadways within the two-dimensional model geometry.

The downstream end of the two-dimensional HEC-RAS model was set to be approximately at the location where the New River crosses under Old Bridge Road so that existing gauge data from that location could be leveraged when possible. If gauge records did not cover the time span needed, data from Coastal Emergency Risks Assessment (CERA), public tidal records, and NCSU Climate Office were used to supplement needed model inputs.

In order to gain a level of sensitivity of the model, a second storm in addition to Hurricane Florence was chosen, an unnamed heavy rainfall event from September 2010 was. The amount of rainfall for this second storm equates to NCDOT's current design standard. By choosing two storms, it allowed for a minimum and maximum rain event to be evaluated.


Hurricane Florence is commonly stated as being a 500 year storm event. This equates to a 0.2% chance of occurring within a given year. This statement (500 year storm) applies when looking at the storm from a three (3) day period of rainfall depth/accumulation (i.e. ~18 inches of rain over a 3 day period). Florence from a 24 hour depth/accumulation perspective qualifies as a 25 year rainfall event (~9 inches of rain over a 24 hour period).


Event	3 hour	6 hour	12 hour	24 hour	2 day	3 day
Florence	2 year	5 year	10 year	25 year	200 year	500 year
Sept 2010	1 year	1 year	2 year	10 year	25 year	25 year

Figure 6: Rainfall Depth Return Periods for the two modeled storms

The results of this modeling effort for both basins can be found in Table 3 and Table 4. The amount of time that the water surface elevation exceeded the previously determined overtopping elevation was deemed the overtopping duration. The two figures below highlight how the duration of overtopping was determined for Crossing 49 for both Hurricane Florence (9/2018) and the second storm (9/2010).









Some crossings showed lengthy durations of overtopping where the water on the roadway was not the result of the culvert or bridge overtopping, but rather more localized street flooding or a nearby pond. One example of this is Crossing 11: State Hwy 24 over Cowford Branch (see figure below). At this crossing, the flood waters from Cowford Branch itself are able to be conveyed through the culvert without overtopping the road, but the analysis showed a long duration of overtopping (being impassible) due to the near constant presence of stormwater on the roadway. This stormwater is the result of lateral roadway flooding coming from the west, rather than the stream itself.




Figure 7: Crossing 11



Prioritization


The three main areas of focus that were evaluated for each crossing include:

- **Frequency**: How often does a crossing overtop the road?
- **Criticality**: How critical is a crossing to the overall transportation network?
- **Duration**: When a crossing does overtop, how long does the road remain impassible?

Applying the model to each crossing allowed stakeholders to visualize the model effects and compare it with their own experience and history of flooding at these crossings.

The end result is a list of crossings that are prioritized as either high, medium, or low. The Committee identified 14 high priorities, 22 medium priorities and 49 low priority crossings. By prioritizing each crossing and applying the parameters previously discussed, the Committee can now focus on the 14 high priority crossings which have been determined to be critical to the transportation network in Onslow County.

The intent of prioritizing each crossing allows a focused approach to discuss possible improvements at these crossings with NCDOT either through future State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) projects or through maintenance projects.



The STIP is a multi-year plan that identifies the construction funding for and scheduling of transportation projects throughout the state. The STIP is updated every two years through a Strategic Prioritization, which uses a transparent, systematic and data-driven process for prioritizing the major transportation needs in North Carolina and making investment decisions. Projects are evaluated based on their merit through an analysis of the existing and future conditions, the benefits the project is expected to provide, the project's multi-modal characteristics and how the project fits in with local priorities. The outcome of the strategic prioritization process serves as input to the Draft State Transportation Improvement Program.

Modeling the no named storm of September 2010 also allows JUMPO the opportunity to discuss with NCDOT the possibility of amending their design standards in areas that are known to flood. The results of this initiative provide a data-driven discussion for modified design approaches where appropriate.

Results of this study have been compiled in a virtual dashboard for the public to review. This dashboard uses ArcGIS Online and can be found on either JUMPO's website at www.jumbo-nc.org or <a href="https://www.arcgis.com/apps/dashboards/3f32ec95f6f6459aa369c262d7353682.



Many thanks to the members of TRAC who contributed to the success of this initiative:

Ben Warren, Assistant County Manager, Onslow County Jessica Rhue, Planning and Development Director, Onslow County Chris White, Airport Director, Albert J Ellis Airport, Onslow County Stacie Miles, Emergency Services Deputy Director, Onslow County Caitlin Marks, Division Planning Engineer, NC Department of Transportation Dan Cumbo, District 1 Engineering Supervisor III, NC Department of Transportation David Sawyer, County Maintenance Engineer, NC Department of Transportation Robert Vause, Division Maintenance Engineer, NC Department of Transportation Trevor Carroll, Assistant Division Construction Engineer, NC Department of Transportation Brian Kelly, Fire Marshal, City of Jacksonville Jeremy Smith, Senior Planner, City of Jacksonville Ryan King, Director of Planning and Inspections, City of Jacksonville Shaun Hayes, Deputy Fire Chief, City of Jacksonville Stephanie Kutz, Transportation Project Manager, City of Jacksonville Anthony Prinz, Transportation Services Director, City of Jacksonville Deanna Trebil, MPO Administrator, City of Jacksonville Gregg Whitehead, Richlands Town Manager Tim McCurry, Marine Corps Liaison, Government and External Relations, MCI East



High Priority

Crossing ID	Location	Overtopping Elevation	Overtopping Frequency	Narrative
5	Nine Mile Rd	66.60	100yr	
7	Haw Branch Rd	55.90	10yr	
9	Haw Branch Rd	54.20	50yr	
14	Beulaville Hwy	47.80	100yr	
25	State Rd 1333	35.00	50yr	
32	Blue Creek Rd	29.10	50yr	
39	Gum Branch Rd	24.70	50yr	
52	Sneads Ferry Rd	16.50	100yr	
56	Rhodestown Rd	14.10	50yr	
61	Lejeune Blvd	16.70	25yr	Recommendation was to change this priority from medium to high. While this crossing does not flood, the high priority is given as a result of the large damming effect it has on the Hardison Hills residents and the City of Jacksonville's Ellis Pump Station.
71	Holcomb Blvd	10.40	25yr	
72	Gum Branch Rd	10.20	100yr	
82	Sneads Ferry Rd	5.80	10yr	
83	Sneads Ferry Rd	5.60	10yr	



Medium Priority

Crossing ID	Location	Overtopping Elevation	Overtopping Frequency	Narrative Narrative
3	Haw Branch Rd	74.30	100yr	
			,	
6	Catherine Lake Rd	65.70	100yr	
8	State Hwy 53	55.60	50yr	
11	State Hwy 24	49.10	500yr	Crossing was changed from low to medium priority due to long duration of overtopping. The presence of water on the road is more due to the lateral roadway flooding rather than the stream crossing itself. The priority was elevated since the crossing is on NC 24 which does flood.
12	Murrill Brown Rd	49.00	100yr	
33	Richlands Hwy	28.50	500yr	
37	State Hwy 53	25.70	100yr	This crossing is a bridge which overtopped during Florence. The bridge remains closed, even if the water has receded, until NCDOT can conduct a bridge inspection resulting in the inability to use the road.
38	Gum Branch Rd	24.90	500yr	With the close proximity of this crossing to Crossing 39 (which is a high priority) and it being on a main corridor road, the crossing was changed from a low priority to a medium priority.
49	Gum Branch Rd	17.40	25yr	
51	NW Bridge Rd	16.60	25yr	
57	Rocky Run Rd	13.80	100yr	
63	State Hwy 172	12.60	50yr	
67	State Rd 1434	11.60	50yr	
70	Blue Creek Rd	10.40	100yr	Given the close proximity of this crossing and Crossing 60, the recommendation is to tie them together. The goal in this area should be to reduce the amount of flooding on the road and not the area around the road given the topography of this area.



Medium Priority - Continued

Crossing ID	Location	Overtopping Elevation	Overtopping Frequency	Narrative
74	Freedom Way	10.10	100yr	
76	State Rd 1434	9.70	100yr	
78	State Rd 1434	9.30	25yr	
79	State Rd 1434	8.60	25yr	
80	Piney Green Rd	8.20	100yr	
81	Holcomb Blvd	7.90	100yr	
84	Bear Creek Rd	33.60	N/A	
85	Kingsbridge Rd	33.80	N/A	Flooding due to a low lying stormwater pond.

N/A = Data not available (not a stream crossing)



Low Priority

Crossing		Overtopping	Overtopping	
ID	Location	Elevation	Frequency	Narrative
1	Haw Branch Rd	81.90	Х	
2	Catherine Lake Rd	75.60	10yr	
4	State Hwy 53	68.30	500yr	
10	Richlands Hwy	49.30	Х	
13	US Hwy 17	44.70	Х	
15	State Rd 1212	44.40	Х	
16	State Hwy 50	43.50	Х	
17	Blue Creek Rd	42.40	500yr	This crossing is a bridge which overtopped during Florence. The bridge remains closed, even if the water has receded, until NCDOT can conduct a bridge inspection resulting in the inability to use the road.
18	State Hwy 50	42.00	500yr	
19	US Hwy 17	41.80	Х	
20	State Hwy 50	40.90	500yr	
21	State Hwy 53	40.00	х	
22	Western Blvd	38.60	10yr	The criteria used to grade this crossing warranted it an initial medium priority. However, stakeholders do not recall this crossing flooding so the priority was changed to low.
23	State Hwy 50	37.40	x	
24	Ramsey Rd	35.20	500yr	
26	Dawson Cabin Rd	32.70	500yr	
27	Ramsey Rd	32.70	500yr	
28	US Hwy 17	32.60	Х	
29	Freedom Way	32.40	Х	
30	Richlands Hwy	30.80	Х	

x =Crossing does not overtop at any of the 5 frequencies (10, 25, 50, 100, 500yr)



Low Priority - Continued

Crossing ID	Location	Overtopping Elevation	Overtopping Frequency	Narrative
31	US Hwy 17	30.50	Х	
34	Henderson Dr	28.10	100yr	
35	US Hwy 17	27.80	500yr	
36	Rocky Run Rd	26.90	X	
40	Richlands Hwy	24.20	500yr	
41	Gum Branch Rd	23.90	100yr	
42	Bell Fork Rd	23.70	10yr	
43	State Hwy 24	23.00	X	
44	Bell Fork Rd	22.00	10yr	
45	US Hwy 17	21.40	500yr	
46	Gum Branch Rd	21.10	10yr	
47	US Hwy 17	19.30	X	
48	Ramsey Rd	17.60	500yr	The model results show that this crossing did not overtop. However, during Florence, this crossing was flooded for a period of approximately 24 hours during the heaviest rainfall resulting in washouts. The flooding was a result of overtopping off to the side and not at the crossing based on the model.
50	Old 30 Rd	17.00	500yr	
53	Gum Branch Rd	15.90	50yr	Model results show this crossing as a high priority; however, since this crossing is not known to overtop, the priority was changed to low.
54	State Hwy 24 Bus	15.70	10yr	
55	US Hwy 17	15.00	Х	
58	US Hwy 17	13.50	500yr	

x =Crossing does not overtop at any of the 5 frequencies (10, 25, 50, 100, 500yr)



Low Priority - Continued

Crossing ID	Location	Overtopping Elevation	Overtopping Frequency	Narrative
59	US Hwy 258	13.10	10yr	
60	Richlands Hwy	13.10	500yr	Given the close proximity of this crossing and Crossing 70, the recommendation is to tie them together. The goal in this area should be to reduce the amount of flooding on the road and not the area around the road given the topography of this area.
62	US Hwy 17 Bus	12.90	500yr	
64	Queens Creek	12.10	500yr	
65	State Hwy 172	12.00	х	
66	State Rd 1406	11.80	500yr	
68	US Hwy 17 Bus	10.90	500yr	
69	Henderson Dr	10.50	500yr	
73	US Hwy 17 Bus	10.20	100yr	
75	State Rd 1406	10.00	100yr	
77	State Hwy 24	9.60	500yr	

x =Crossing does not overtop at any of the 5 frequencies (10, 25, 50, 100, 500yr)



Data Collection Resources

The work documented in this report is based on the following data:

- Road
 - The latest TIGER road centerline shapefile for Onslow County
 - Road corridors prioritized by TRAC
- Historic gage information
 - USGS Hurricane Florence High Water Marks (45) and peak stage records (11)
 - USGS Hurricane Matthew High Water Marks (15) and peak stage records (5)
 - USGS stream discharge and stage data at Station: 02093000 NEW RIVER NEAR GUM BRANCH
 - USGS precipitation, stream discharge and stage data for Hurricane Florence at Rapid Deployment Gage at Old Bridge St
- Elevation data
 - North Carolina Department of Emergency Management QL2 LiDAR data
 - Post-processed roadway DEM file from ESP and Associates
- Stream models
 - Most recent FEMA GIS information and water surface elevation and depth rasters
 - HEC-RAS models including all 2016 "preliminary" models available for Onslow County
- Other Data
 - Hurricane Florence data provided by City of Jacksonville staff
 - Precipitation data from NC State Climate Office
 - Public tidal records
 - o Coastal Emergency Risks Assessment (CERA) water surface elevation data

Previous Resiliency and Flood Modeling Study References

- Hurricane Matthew Resilient Redevelopment Plan for Onslow County (May 2017)
- Neuse River Basin Flood Analysis and Mitigation Strategies Study (May 2018)
- USGS Preliminary Peak Stage and Streamflow Data for Flooding Following Hurricane Florence (September 2018)
- Hurricane Florence Recovery Recommendations (October 2018)



Table 1 – Crossing ID (locations)

Crossing ID	Road Name	Stream Name (FEMA)
1	Haw Branch Rd	Back Swamp Tributary 9.227
2	Catherine Lake Rd	Back Swamp Tributary 3.229
3	Haw Branch Rd	Back Swamp Tributary 8.151
4	State Hwy 53	Moores Creek Tributary 4.214
5	Nine Mile Rd	Ninemile Creek.160
6	Catherine Lake Rd	Back Swamp.238
7	Haw Branch Rd	New River Tributary.23
8	State Hwy 53	Moores Creek Tributary 2.168
9	Haw Branch Rd	New River.48
10	Richlands Hwy	New River Tributary 4.54
11	State Hwy 24	Cowford Branch.14
12	Murrill Brown Rd	Southwest Creek.50
13	US Hwy 17	Starkys Creek.70
14	Beulaville Hwy	New River.48
15	State Rd 1212	Blue Creek.57
16	State Hwy 50	Juniper Swamp Tributary 1.124
17	Blue Creek Rd	Deep Run.197
18	State Hwy 50	Juniper Swamp.267
19	US Hwy 17	Scales Creek.26
20	State Hwy 50	Shelter Swamp Creek.262
21	State Hwy 53	Harris Creek Tributary 1.190
22	Western Blvd	Sandy Run Branch.25
23	State Hwy 50	Sandy Run Swamp.235
24	Ramsey Rd	Wolf Swamp.28
25	State Rd 1333	White Oak River.108
26	Dawson Cabin Rd	Haws Run Tributary 2.195
27	Ramsey Rd	Half Moon Creek.52
28	US Hwy 17	Starkys Creek.70
29	Freedom Way	Bell Swamp.37
30	Richlands Hwy	New River Tributary 2.51
31	US Hwy 17	Wolf Swamp.28
32	Blue Creek Rd	Southwest Creek.50
33	Richlands Hwy	New River.48
34	Henderson Dr	Mill Creek.56
35	US Hwy 17	Northeast Creek.59

The numbers associated with the stream name correspond to the model segment name from FEMA's data for HEC-RAS model centerlines (their existing 1D Models).



Table 1 – Crossing ID (locations) - Continued

Crossing ID	Road Name	Stream Name (FEMA)
36	Rocky Run Rd	Horse Swamp.193
37	State Hwy 53	Southwest Creek.49
38	Gum Branch Rd	Mill Swamp.20
39	Gum Branch Rd	Jenkins Swamp.17
40	Richlands Hwy	New River Tributary 5.55
41	Gum Branch Rd	Mill Creek North Tributary.29
42	Bell Fork Rd	Scales Creek.26
43	State Hwy 24	Brinson Creek.11
44	Bell Fork Rd	Sandy Run Branch.25
45	US Hwy 17	White Oak River.117
46	Gum Branch Rd	Dotey's Branch.15
47	US Hwy 17	Hicks Run.46
48	Ramsey Rd	Half Moon Creek Tributary.16
49	Gum Branch Rd	Mill Creek.56
50	Old 30 Rd	Little Northeast Creek.58
51	NW Bridge Rd	New River.48
52	Sneads Ferry Rd	Cogdels Creek.63
53	Gum Branch Rd	Bachelor's Delight Swamp.53
54	State Hwy 24 Bus	BurntHouse.12
55	US Hwy 17	New River.48
56	Rhodestown Rd	New River.48
57	Rocky Run Rd	Rocky Run.24
58	US Hwy 17	Southwest Creek.49
59	US Hwy 258	Brick Kiln Branch.10
60	Richlands Hwy	Blue Creek.57
61	Lejeune Blvd	Scales Creek.26
62	US Hwy 17 Bus	Brinson Creek.11
63	State Hwy 172	Bear Creek.35
64	Queens Creek Rd	Parrot Swamp.64
65	State Hwy 172	New River.22
66	State Rd 1406	Northeast Creek.59
67	State Rd 1434	Starkys Creek.91
68	US Hwy 17 Bus	New River.48
69	Henderson Dr	Mill Creek.56
70	Blue Creek Rd	Blue Creek.57

The numbers associated with the stream name correspond to the model segment name from FEMA's data for HEC-RAS model centerlines (their existing 1D Models).



Table 1 – Crossing ID (locations) - Continued

Crossing ID	Road Name	Stream Name (FEMA)
71	Holcomb Blvd	Bearhead Creek.3
72	Gum Branch Rd	Half Moon Creek.52
73	US Hwy 17 Bus	Chaney Creek.13
74	Freedom Way	Queen Creek.32
75	State Rd 1406	Poplar Creek.61
76	State Rd 1434	Grants Creek.78
77	State Hwy 24	Northeast Creek.60
78	State Rd 1434	Webb Creek.33
79	State Rd 1434	Holland Mill Creek.67
80	Piney Green Rd	Little Northeast Creek.58
81	Holcomb Blvd	Wallace Creek.68
82	Sneads Ferry Rd	Jumping Run.36
83	Sneads Ferry Rd	Cowhead Creeks.38
84	Bear Creek Rd	Not a stream crossing
85	Kingsbridge Rd	Not a stream crossing; Pond, low spot

The numbers associated with the stream name correspond to the model segment name from FEMA's data for HEC-RAS model centerlines (their existing 1D Models).



Table 2 – Detour Lengths (miles)

Crossing ID	10yr	25yr	50yr	100yr	500yr
1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9
3	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.1	10.1
4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.3
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	5.4
6	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.1	24.1
7	3.0	3.0	3.0	16.0	16.0
8	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
9	0.0	0.0	8.8	12.1	12.1
10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
12	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6	100.0
15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
22	1.5	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
23	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4
25	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
26	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
27	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
28	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
29	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
30	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
32	0.0	0.0	9.0	100.0	100.0
33	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.9

^{* =} Detour routes include roads on Camp Lejeune property



Table 2 – Detour Lengths (miles) Continued

Crossing ID	10yr	25yr	50yr	100yr	500yr
34	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0
35	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
36	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
37	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
38	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
39	0.0	0.0	12.2	100.0	100.0
40	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9
41	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	100.0
42	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
43	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
44	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9
45	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
46	2.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
47	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
48	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
49	0.0	3.1	3.1	5.0	5.0
50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.2
51	0.0	10.5	50.0	100.0	100.0
52	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
53	0.0	0.0	37.8	100.0	100.0
54	5.1	5.1	5.1	10.3	100.0
55	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
56	0.0	0.0	14.2	100.0	100.0
57	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6	17.6
58	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
59	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
60	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9
61	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
62	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.2
63	0.0	0.0	53.4*	100.0	100.0
64	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.9
65	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
66	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.8
67	0.0	0.0	10.9	10.9	10.9
68	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0

^{* =} Detour routes include roads on Camp Lejeune property



Table 2 – Detour Lengths (miles) Continued

Crossing ID	10yr	25yr	50yr	100yr	500yr
69	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
70	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.0
71	0.0	20.4*	100.0	100.0	100.0
72	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	100.0
73	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.7	100.0
74	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.4	100.0
75	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.1	100.0
76	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.4	8.4
77	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.1
78	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
79	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
80	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6	100.0
81	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
82	15.8*	15.8*	100.0	100.0	100.0
83	15.8*	15.8*	100.0	100.0	100.0
84	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{* =} Detour routes include roads on Camp Lejeune property



Table 3 – Duration of Overtopping (hr) – New River Crossings

Total run time was 84 hours

Crossing ID	Florence	Sept 2010
7	25.3	22.4
9	44.1	29.1
10	0	0
11	59.5	34.3
14	14.2	14.5
15	0	0
19	0	0
22	0	0
27	0	0
30	0.9	0.5
33	17.4	0
34	0.8	0.1
38	23.5	16.6
39	33.4	32.8
40	10.4	0
41	1.8	1.2
42	0	0
44	61.8	65.8
46	11.7	31.3
48	0	0
49	61.8	49.6
51	46.1	72.1
53	5.7	0
54	9.5	5.7
56	43.2	49.2
59	0	0
60	0	0
61	0	0
68	0	0
69	0	0
70	14.8	11.8
72	39.3	34.4
73	0	0



Table 4 – Duration of Overtopping (hr) – Southwest Creek Crossings

Total run time was 84 hours

Crossing ID	Florence	Sept 2010
12	0	2
17	0	0
21	0	0
26	0	2.4
32	13.6	36.6
37	0	0
47	0	0



RESOLUTION ADOPTING AMENDMENT #3 TO THE 2045 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLAN

A motion was made by _____ and seconded by _____ for adoption of the following resolution, and upon being put to a vote, was duly adopted.

WHEREAS , the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration require Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) to maintain a long range transportation plan with no less than a 20-year planning horizon; and				
WHEREAS , Federal guidelines stipulate that long range transportation plans must be updated every five years; and				
WHEREAS , the Jacksonville Urban Area MPO has coordinated with federal, state and local stakeholders to develop a 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan; and				
WHEREAS , the Metropolitan Transportation Plan is inclusive of all modes and is consistent with Federal guidelines; and				
WHEREAS , the Jacksonville Urban Area MPO most recently the 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan was advertised for public comment in accordance with the adopted Public Participation Plan and adopted on March 12, 2020; and				
WHEREAS , Amendment #1 was adopted on November 19, 2020 and Amendment #2 was adopted on March 11, 2021 both of which were advertised for public comment in accordance with the adopted Public Participation Plan; and				
WHEREAS , Amendment #3 to the Metropolitan Transportation Plan was advertised for public comment in accordance with the adopted Public Participation Plan;				
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Transportation Advisory Committee hereby adopts Amendment #3 the 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan on this the 19 th day of August, 2021.				
Robert Warden, Chairman				
Subscribed and sworn to me this day of 2021.				
My commission expires Notary Public				